

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_84240
Title of the Manuscript:	Bharat's Solar Energy : An analysis using Porters Diamond Model with a brief on Ancient Past for Environmentally Sustainable Competitive Advantage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Using diamond model to explore the sustainable development of new energy development is an interesting topic. But the article has the following problems and suggestions: 1、 The conclusion of the article is not prominent, and the abstract and conclusion are not concise, resulting in the theme of the article is not clear, thus affecting the writing significance of the article. To summarize 2-3 specific conclusions and improve the core point of view. 2、 Data source and method are not clear, should be introduced in detail, or formula, thus affecting the scientific nature of the article. 3、 Some chapters are too simple, such as 2.1.6、 3.1 RESULTS ? 、 3.2 Discussion ? 4、 It is suggested to draw some charts based on the data of long sequences. 5、 References need to be arranged in order in the article : 1, 2, 3 . 6、 In the article, there are incomplete or incorrect expressions of words and sentences to strengthen grammar and smoothness of the article。	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	BO Tang
Department, University & Country	Guangzhou Xinhua University, China