

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_84134
Title of the Manuscript:	ENERGY EFFICIENCY BEST PRACTICES: A CASE STUDY IN AN INDUSTRIAL SITE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	A case study that can benefit many.	I have highlighted in red the part that needs to be paraphrased and acknowledged in the manuscript. I have made corrections (in red)..in the manuscript.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Work on the paragraph that needs to be paraphrased and acknowledged.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	An article that is easy to understand even when it is read by someone who is not from the field of the author.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surina Nayan
Department, University & Country	UiTM Cawangan Perlis, Malaysia