Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | Journal of Economics, Management and Trade |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEMT_83850 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | On The Impact of Exchange Rate Depreciation on bilateral Trade of Egypt and China: An ARDL Approach |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | Should write his/her reedback here) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| | Congratulations to the author(s) for their paper. The paper explores that to examine the | |
| | relationship of exchange rate depreciation with Egypt and China bilateral trade. The paper | |
| | also indicated that growth in foreign income tend to worsen the trade balance, while | |
| | growth in domestic income improved the Egypt's trade balance. | |
| | The topic of the manuscript is very relevant and in line with the aims and scope of the | |
| | journal which makes this manuscript interesting. However, I have some minor concerns | |
| | that need to be addressed. I'll explain them in the order of importance. | |
| | 1) Tables are attrictural forms with rows and solvens. For this reason of the solution | |
| | 1) Tables are structural forms with rows and columns. For this reason, shape and table separation should be done well. | |
| | Soparation onotice to dono from | |
| | | |
| | 2) General statements shall be removed. | |
| | 3) The adopted methodology shall be described. All methods used, assumptions made | |
| | shall be justified. | |
| | | |
| | 4) Conclusions shall be specific and connected to your analysis of previous sections. | |
| | 5) The English are very weak. There is a need for English Editing. | |
| | 6) In my aninian, the nation recommendations do not have justification in the ampirical | |
| | 6) In my opinion, the policy recommendations do not have justification in the empirical results. | |
| | | |
| | 7) Please clearly highlight the literature gap this study tries to fill in. Let's write a selection | |
| | from the current literature after 2018. | |
| | 8) It should be explained more clearly why the years of 1995 to 2019 and Egypt's trade | |
| | balance with China and exchange rate of Chinese yuan in terms of Egyptian pound, | |
| | China's Gross Domestic Income and Gross Domestic Income of Egypt as variables are | |
| | especially preferred, let's give the reason. | |
| | 9) Why the method (Asymmetric autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL), Elliott- | |
| | Rothenberg-test (Stock DF-GLS)) used was chosen. You explained in the conclusion but | |
| | it should be explained in detail before conclusion. How this method is essential to address | |
| | limitations in this area? Please justify on why multiple regression analysis modeling is the | |
| | most appropriate method for examining the selected thematic. | |
| | 10) Why Egypt and China were preferred, let's give the reason. | |
| | 44\) atta varida the constant and an area and a to the constitution of the constitutio | |
| | 11)Let's revise the way of giving references according to the spelling rules of the journal. | |
| | 12) And lastly, the writing needs to better. There are a plethora of run-on sentences and | |
| | grammatical errors throughout. The writing issues sometimes make the paper difficult to | |
| | read. Although there are not a lot of obvious mistakes, the style is clunky and I advise that | |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

| | the manuscript is proffered by a native English speaker. In general, the paper needs to be proofread and copy edited. | |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| | 13- Those mentioned in the literature review can be tabulated. This makes it easier for the reader to understand. | |
| | 14- Overall, the abbreviations seem to be inconvenient since their notations were not explained when they first appear. Author(s) are suggested to revise the paper throughout. | |
| | 15- Overall, Author(s) are suggested to use more figure and table presentations which will help in understanding the whole picture of the study. | |
| | 16- Overall, more studies in the existing literature on the topic should have been cited/presented to make clear the contribution of the study. This will also help in showing how the study is going to fill the gap in the extant literature. | |
| | 17- Finally, the concluding should address more specific outcomes of the contribution of bilateral trade and the real exchange rate, and domestic and foreign income based on the experiences of the Egypt and China. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Mustafa Göktuğ Kaya |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | KTO Karatay University, Turkey |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)