



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_67239
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Domestic Investment, Export Expansion and Economic Performance in Nigeria: A Vector Autoregression Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The introduction should supplement the main content of the research, the data used and the results of the research.</p> <p>2. Before Empirical Analysis, Result and Discussion, there should be a part to introduce the variable selection and data sources used in this article.</p> <p>3. Please add realistic explanations of the empirical results. The current explanation is only an intuitive description of the results, which is not enough for the research content.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. For "The contribution of this study..." the second point would be better if give a more detailed explanation.</p> <p>2. 3 or 4 decimal places for the value can make the table content clearer and more beautiful.</p> <p>3. The table format needs to be more standardized.</p> <p>4. The quality of references needs to be improved.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xue Tang
Department, University & Country	Zhongnan University of Economics and Low, China