Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_89464
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATION OF MARKETED SURPLUS AND CONSTRAINTS IN PRODUCTION AND MARKETING OF MILK IN VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article must be in the problem statement section, especially with emphasis on the subject	
	Surplus milk production and marketing should be carefully reviewed as the output of the possible model and its dimensions and structures in the studied industry should be quantitatively estimated and calculated. Considering the strategic nature of the product, the importance and necessity of research should be accompanied by statistical evidence at various levels. Compare nationally and internationally.	
	The objectives of the research should be separated and introduced in two scientific and practical parts. The research plan should be considered in order to control the influencing variables on the milk market process. The main research question should be highlighted by emphasizing the dependent variable.	
	The main research variables must be defined conceptually and operationally.	
Minor REVISION comments	 Theoretical gap analysis is not seen in the work of the article and for this reason the researcher has not been able to point out the new aspects of this research work. Also, the statistical sections should be designed based on a systematic and detailed roadmap and explain the steps of data analysis step by step. The discussion and conclusion section should be written exactly based on the results of the research. Practical suggestions should be written exactly in line with the results and findings of the research. 	
Optional/General comments	It is recommended that the researcher presents the theoretical framework and conceptual model of his research. The references in the text should be reviewed and modified at the end of the work according to the format of the journal. The policy recommendations of the research should be carefully reviewed in order to accurately estimate the amount of excess milk production and how to market it in the target society. The limitations of research in implementation should be pointed out.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Taleghani
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)