Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_84116
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Soil Fertility of Some Villages of Mahishi Block, Saharsa, Bihar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments The paper studies and contributes to an important aspect of addition to of environmental quality, so it can be accepted with the following suggest revisions: 1. There are multiple spelling and grammar mistakes in the manus have been highlighted and commented upon for the author(s) to and edit accordingly. 2. It will be better if the author(s) make some graphs or charts show trends of different parameter values with the standard range values of the reference point in those diagrams for easy interpretation of data and authors are encouraged to use photographs of site sampling apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual the manual formulation of the samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and different soil samples for better look of the manual formulation apparatus and differen	ested cript that to take note of wing the ues acting as a. g, laboratory script.
Minor REVISION comments	
Optional/General comments	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avdesh Bhardawaj
Department, University & Country	India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)