

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_83764
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of inoculum levels on disease development and survival potential of <i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i> sclerotia
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p><b>Comments for the manuscript number Ms-JEAI 83764</b></p> <p>I have gone through the manuscript entitled “Effect of inoculum levels on disease development and survival potential of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum sclerotia”</p> <p>The title, keywords, and abstract are satisfactory.</p> <p><b>Introduction.</b></p> <p>The introduction provides sufficient background information of fungus.</p> <p>However, there are few points that require attention.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Author did not mention the botanical name of Indian mustard.</li><li>• Author should provide some information of Indian mustard plant.</li><li>• Why the author did not mention the importance of Indian mustard?</li></ul> <p><b>Material and Methods.</b></p> <p>In material methods section I have some queries.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Author should take 5 replicates for each treatment.</li><li>• Why the author did not cite the reference of method he followed.</li></ul> <p><b>Result and discussion</b></p> <p>The description of the result is satisfactory.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Author should show some picture of his experiments showing the symptoms of fungus and severity of disease on plant according to inoculums level.</li></ul> <p>In discussion section author should make particular attention to avoiding unnecessary repetition of the result.</p> <p>A check should be run on the technical aspects to make sure that the presentation is consistent and</p> <p>correction and updating are needed in text citation and reference list.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rushda Sharf
Department, University & Country	Navyug Kanya Mahavidhayala, India