

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_74040
Title of the Manuscript:	Cupuaçu (Theobroma grandiflorum) culture: main pests of economic damage
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. The author should display the following relevant images: the cupusu (Theobroma grandiflorum (Willd. ex Speng.) Schum), Conotrachelus humeropictus, insects belonging to the genus Conotrachelus (Coleoptera, Curculionidae), the larvae, the main pests of the Kupuazu culture</p> <p>-The related picture in each of the topics of LITERARY REVIEW</p> <p>2. The authors should describe and give the examples of the chemicals (added the chemical structures in the Table.) and technology involved in pest management.</p> <p>3. The authors should explained the life cycle of important pest which affected the plants.</p> <p>4. The authors should added the process to control the pest by using the flow chart and explain the flow chart in the text.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Please check the format of the references and grammatical error.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Widchaya Radchatawedchakoon
Department, University & Country	Maharakham University, Thailand