Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_83754
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparison between Accelerated Failure-Time Models in Analyzing the Survival of Breast Cancer Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Provide more details of therapy time and therapy methods, so that it may exclude other potential interference. Did not give any information of exclude criteria for selection of the patients, if they have any other diseases or not? Provide the age range of patients. Did not state the reason why divide into two groups with the 50 years old as the point while the average age is 48 years old in their country and even in whole Africa, the average age is 54 years old. Fit test should contain r value in the figure.	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor errors, like "48years" should be "48 years" (Introduction), "with triple negative molecular," has one more space. AFT is a good model, can you show validation data once applied AFT model?	
Optional/General comments	This paper discussed the BC survival prediction model via comparing 6 different models, and found AFT is best. There are some novelties, and could be a good supplementary to know the BC incidence ands survival in Ghana. It is better to give a table to present the incidence results of different stages of BC. This paper did not mention the reasons of select the 6 models, they need to state the reasons and the advantages of each model. Accept after revision.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mingdian Tan
Department, University & Country	Stanford University, China

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)