

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_88293
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of the Anti-diabetic Polyherbal (Ruzu Bitters) on Glucose, Hepatic and Renal Parameters in Alloxan-induced Diabetic Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>✓ INTRODUCTION</p> <p>The literature is not well synthesized; All statement is obtained from few literature (only three reference). More over most of the references are old, they cannot tell us the current practice.</p> <p>It is too short. Please focus and rewrite the introduction as follow and don't go beyond one and a half page:</p> <p>Paragraph 1: Definition and description of the outcome variable</p> <p>Paragraph 2: Background of the outcome variable including rationale and direction of WHO/FMOH on your study</p> <p>Paragraph 3: major problem/success related to the outcome variable</p> <p>Paragraph 4: What others did to solve the problem with argument on method section</p> <p>Paragraph 5: Gap you identified with justification</p> <p>Paragraph 6: Your intention on how to minimize the gap with justification</p> <p>Some of the sentences in the result part is unclear. Rewrite it</p> <p>The manuscript requires English language copy editing. A lot of grammar errors.</p> <p>Most of your references are too old. Please try to replace with articles published within the last five years.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniel Teshome
Department, University & Country	Wollo University, Ethiopia