

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_83573
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Some Reproductive Hormones in Acute Lead-Acetate-Induced toxicity in Male and Female Albino Rats Treated Hypoestes rosea Leaf
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<div><div>☞ This manuscript is high quality for publication after minor revision.</div><div>☞ The title is relevant as to me.</div><div>☞ The title and abstract cover the main aspect of the work and informative.</div><div>☞ The introduction section provides background information relevant to the study.</div><div>☞ The results are novel and data generated seems plausible.</div><div>☞ The methods are clear and results match with the methods</div><div>☞ The manuscript is full of typo-grammatical errors which needs suitable amendment. Some mistakes are as follow</div><div>☞ Except percent a unit should be kept after a space next to number: 100mg/kg, 60mg/kg, 200mg/kg and 300mg/kg</div><div>☞ Space should be followed after full stop. Several evidence was showing the risk of lead toxicity on male reproductive system [8].Lead induces reduction..... while it also damages the endometrium[10].Some studies..... Amend such terms consistently.</div><div>☞ Space between two words such as ‘invivo’ should be as in vivo and ‘invitro’ as ‘in vitro’.</div><div>☞ Merge the two paragraphs in section 2.2. in to one due to their similarity in concept</div><div>☞ The conclusion was done in parallel to findings entertained.</div></div> <div>Reference: references have the correct style. Better to incorporate the ‘DOI’ of the article cited in the reference list.</div>	
Optional/General comments	<div><div>♥ I would like to recommend to use automated reference managing soft wares such as Mendeley, Endnote...</div><div>♥ Better to insert captions for tables and figures in the manuscript and should be cross referenced in the text.</div></div>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Woretaw Sisay Zewdu
Department, University & Country	Debre Tabor University, Ethiopia