

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_83573
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Some Reproductive Hormones in Acute Lead-Acetate-Induced toxicity in Male and Female Albino Rats Treated Hypoestes rosea Leaf
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Excellent Study- Very well written- Clarity of thought to the reader- Grammatical and linguistic accuracy maintained at all levels- Methodology is sufficient details to ensure reproducibility- Conclusions drawn very appropriately- Referenced and cited appropriately <p>Could Improve:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Abstract could be made more clearer – I understand the word limit but a little more clarity could be achieved by rephrasing- In the results section – the section starts with tables and then the description follows, can the tables be incorporated in between the text rather than all being put at one place	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract: 1) EC group – please use full form of abbreviation when using for the first time Introduction 1) Atreotic – atretic	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Well written paper	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Ismail khan
Department, University & Country	Newcastle University, UK