

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_83565
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Cranial Capacity in Relation to Multiple Intelligence among Igbo Adolescents in Southeastern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract – spelling mistake of “head width ” Overall, needs to correct for spacing in the sentences. Pg 11 - Cranial capacity showed a positive relationship with Bodily/Kinesthetic intelligence. Interpersonal intelligence is observed to have a negative relationship with most craniofacial parameters measured Cranial capacity showed a positive correlation with Intrapersonal intelligence. Cranial capacity showed a negative relationship with naturalistic intelligence. My question: why is it written like this?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Husniyati Roslan
Department, University & Country	Advanced Medical and Dental Institute, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia