Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_89437
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of cognitive function in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Please remove all bold words within the text and references. Stage I is in a different font to the rest of the text please change Would be useful to address relative tobacco consumption etc with cognitive scores as would it be good to relate the metabolic syndrome (which these patients often have) with cognitive impairment as there are good links to this in the literature While the discussion is good the first bits are superfluous particularly where you re-present large swathes of data. It would be useful to try and discuss more about interventions you could use upon detecting cognitive impairment in this population, are there possible confounders to this i.e. dietary restrictions because they smoke B12, folate etc 	
Minor REVISION comments	It would be nice if you offered some epidemiological statistics on COPD in your geographical location and a feeling of global prevalence rates etc.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dominic Worku	
Department, University & Country	United Kingdom	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)