

Original Research Article

INFECTION PREVENTION AND CONTROL PRACTICES, AND BARRIERS TO COMPLIANCE AMONG MEDICAL DOCTORS AND CLINICAL MEDICAL STUDENTS, IN A STATE UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL, SOUTHEAST NIGERIA

ABSTRACT

Aim: Objective of study was to assess infection prevention and control practices, and barriers to compliance among doctors, and students in a state university teaching hospital.

Study design: The study was of descriptive, cross sectional design

Place and duration of study: Conducted in the departments of Medicine, and Surgery, Enugu state University Teaching hospital, Southeast Nigeria. Four weeks in August 2019 was used in collecting data from 61 doctors, and 100 students.

Methodology: Self-administered questionnaire was used in collecting information from respondents, selected through Convenience sampling method. Data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22. Results were expressed in frequencies and percentages of responses.

Results: More than 50.0% doctors reported compliance with hand hygiene, face mask use, eye goggle use, protective gown use, head cover use, hand gloves use, and disposal of sharps in Safety boxes. However, 95.1% of doctors recap used needles. Also, more than 50.0% of medical students reported good compliance with the above stated items except use of eye goggles and use of hand gloves when attending to fever patients. Up to 76.0% of students recap used needles. Respectively, 70.5% of doctors and 67.0% of students identified inadequate supply of personal protective equipment as barrier to compliance with infection prevention and control practices. Also, 67.2% of doctors, and 65.0% of students forget to wash their hands as prescribed. 19.7% doctors and 37.0% students didn't know that needles/sharps should be disposed in safety boxes.

Conclusions: Infection Prevention and control (IPC) practices are relevant components of the health care system, and involve different methods designed to mitigate the risk of spreading infectious diseases in health care facilities. Most of the respondents in this study, had good hand hygiene, and personal protective equipment uses and practices, but embarrassing level of recapping used needle practice.

Comment [A1]: The conclusion should highlight practical implications and recommendations must be given.

Comment [A2]: Abstract should be written under the following headings: Background, methods, results and conclusion

Key words: Infection, Prevention, Control, Practice, Barriers, Doctors, Students

Comment [A3]: Compliance

1. INTRODUCTION

Health care associated infections (HAIs), also commonly called hospital acquired infections has been a global health care delivery problem, but is worse in the developing countries where the percentage of infected patients could be more than 25% in some settings [1]. For patients, these are infections that they did not have, or incubate when they arrived the health care facility. These infections could also manifest after the patients have been discharged home, or left the health care facility environment [2]. Generally, HAIs increase morbidity, mortality, patients' hospital stay, cost of health care, and development of antimicrobial drug resistance. HAIs are also occupational hazards to Health Care Workers (HCWs), and medical students [3-5]. It is estimated that the global disease burden resulting from exposure of HCWs to Hepatitis B and Hepatitis C was 40%; and 4.4% for HIV infection [6]. Visitors and caregivers could also be victims of HAIs. Some authors rated HAI as the commonest adverse event that threatens the safety of patients globally [7,8]. More than 80% of global HAI manifest as urinary tract infections (usually catheter-associated), surgical site infection, blood stream infection (commonly associated with use of intravascular devices), and pneumonia (usually associated with use of ventilator) [9,10].

Comment [A4]: There should be a reference here

Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) is a discipline which main objective is to prevent HAI [11]. IPC practice is an essential component of a good health care system. IPC measures are usually based on the route of transmission of the infectious agents [12]. Practices of IPC developed over years from "Isolation Techniques for use in Hospitals (1970)", "Universal Precautions (1985 – 1988)", "Body Substance Isolation (1987)" and finally settling for "Standard Precautions" in 1996. These efforts were usually championed by the United States Center for Disease Prevention and Control (CDC) [11]. While Universal Precaution was essentially in response to the emergence of HIV/AIDS transmitted through human blood and other materials, Standard Precaution is an improvement on Universal Precaution and Body Substance isolation. Standard Precaution is to be applied to all patients at all times, and includes hand hygiene, respiratory hygiene, use of personal protective equipment (PPE), environmental cleaning, and waste management [13]. Standard precaution is the most effective way to prevent infection in the hospital, and entails a set of recommendations designed to prevent or minimize exposure to infectious agents by hospital staff [12]. Standard precautions assume that the blood and body substances of all patients are potential sources of infection, regardless of the diagnosis, or presumed infectious status [14,15].

As a result of COVID-19 pandemic; in addition to standard precautions, the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control (NCDC) recommended more key strategies to limit or prevent transmission of COVID-19 in health care settings. These are; early recognition and source control, implementing empiric additional precautions, implementing administrative controls, and use of environmental

and engineering controls including ventilation [16]. Early recognition and source control strategy involves screening all patients that present in the health facility, isolating those that are suspected of harboring infection, and notifying the appropriate persons that will ensure proper handling of the patients. Empiric additional precautions include contact, droplet and airborne precautions. On the other hand, Administrative controls are those steps such as training, setting up triaging areas etc, that the management is recommended to take, in other to prevent or control infections; while Engineering and Environmental controls ensure proper ventilation, provision of properly set up isolation room, provision of closed suction system, erection of barriers, etc [16].

Comment [A5]: Hospital management?

Medical practitioners and clinical students get exposed to a variety of infections in the hospital settings in the course of discharging their duties. This has serious public and personal health consequences [17,18]. Outcomes of some studies in America [19], Europe [20,21], Middle East Asia [22], and Africa [23,24] indicate that HCWs contract these infections while carrying out their various duties at their workplaces. Poor knowledge and practices of IPC has been reported among HCWs in Nigeria [25]. As an interface between patients and the health sector, HCWs are exposed to infections when they come in contact with infected patients and contaminated instruments [26,27]. Studies have also shown discrepancy between the level of knowledge of IPC among health care workers in tertiary institutions and its application in practices [28-30]. Several studies have shown the benefits of effective infection control measures in reducing morbidity and mortality, prevention of disease transmission and development of a cost effective healthcare system [31-34].

It was observed in our study health facility, that even with the provision of hand hygiene stations, and some personal protective equipment for health care workers, many including medical doctors did not make use of them. This study was designed to assess the level of IPC practices, and the barriers that hinder good IPC practices among doctors and medical students in the facility. Findings from this study will ultimately be useful in planning and implementing better IPC practices in this health facility, and others.

Comment [A6]: The rationale and usefulness of this study needs to be elaborated upon. Is there data to include to reveal the problem more of HAIs? There have been similar studies conducted in other parts of Nigeria, what usefulness does this study add? It is important to clearly elaborate on this. Include gaps from previous studies that inform your study.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

This study was conducted in Enugu state, located in the southeastern part of Nigeria. Enugu State University Teaching Hospital Parklane is one of the four public tertiary health institutions in Enugu State, and it is state government owned. The other three are owned by the federal government of Nigeria. This state tertiary health institution and University of Nigeria Teaching hospital are the only institutions in Enugu state, where medical students are trained [35,36]. This study was conducted in August 2019, in Medical and Surgical Outpatient departments of the teaching hospital. The hospital had five clinical departments during the study period. These were Community Medicine, Internal Medicine, Surgery, Paediatrics, and Obstetrics and Gynaecology. The other non-clinical departments usually found in any standard teaching hospital, also exist in our study teaching hospital. She had a total of 270 medical doctors of different cadre in her employ [37]. This study was conducted in the departments of Internal Medicine, and Surgery.

Comment [A7]: Include your reason for choice of departments included in the study-based on the very likelihood for HAIs?? etc

Comment [A8]: Specify Enugu state UTH

Clinical medical students of Enugu State University College of Medicine undergo their clinical medical training in the teaching hospital. These were year four, five and six medical students.

Comment [A9]: Were your participants only medical students?

2.2. Study Design

This was a descriptive, cross-sectional study.

2.3. Study Population

The study was conducted among doctors in the departments of Internal Medicine and Surgery, on one hand; and Clinical medical students that were doing their medicine or surgery rotations on the other hand. The hospital during the course of this study had 37 medical doctors in Internal Medicine department, and 34 in Surgery department; making a total of 71 (seventy one). Medical students that did their rotations in Internal Medicine at that time were 68, while 63 did their Surgery posting, giving a total of 131 (One hundred and thirty one).

Comment [A10]: Refer to the comment above

Comment [A11]: This should be moved above in the study area/setting section

2.4. Sample size determination Sample selection

Sample size determination, with degree of accuracy set at 0.05 [38], gave 60 respondents for the medical doctors and 99 respondents for the Clinical medical students. Convenience sampling, which is a non-probability method, was used to collect information from 61 doctors and 100 medical students.

Comment [A12]: 1.The formular for sample size estimation is not clear.

2. You should indicate sample size estimation for your total population. i.e 159. And then explain that convenience sampling was used to recruit XX doctors and XX medical students from the different departments. Also, you should include an estimate of your response rate.

2.5. Sampling instrument and data collection

Self-administered, structured questionnaire was used to collect information from respondents over a period of one month. The questionnaire was divided into three sections namely; Socio-demographic characteristics, Practice of Infection prevention and control, and Barriers to infection prevention and control practices. The initial plan to randomly select samples was jettisoned, since some doctors that fell into the sample group were not cooperative; hence we kept visiting the clinics and distributed the questionnaire to available doctors, until we got the calculated sample size.

Comment [A13]: 1. There must be information on whether the questionnaire was adapted from a previous study or newly developed.

2. There must be mention of reliability and validity of questionnaire used in this study

2.6. Data analysis and management

Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for windows, version 22. The results were expressed in terms of frequencies and percentages of responses given to each question, with the ultimate purpose of assessing the level of IPC practices among doctors and clinical medical students; and also the main barriers that hinder good IPC practices among the study population.

Comment [A14]: It will be beneficial to conduct a statistical test for factors associated with practices and barriers to compliance

3. RESULTS

A total of sixty one (61) medical doctors, and one hundred (100) clinical medical students participated in the study. The findings are summarized as shown below.

3.1. Socio-demographic characteristics of doctors (Table 1)

Sixty one medical doctors participated in the study. There were more male doctors (57.4%), than females (42.6%). Highest number of respondents were within 26 – 30 years age group (34.4%),

followed by 41 – 45 years age group (19.7%). Only two persons aged 46 years and above (3.2%) participated in the study. All the participants were Christians, with 55.7% being married and 44.3% single. House Officers were more in number (47.5%) than any other rank, while only one Medical Officer (1.6%) participated in the study. Consultants are next in number (24.6% to the House Officers, followed by Registrars (16.4%), and finally Senior Registrars (9.8%).

Comment [A15]: Include frequencies and percentages e.g. 57.4% (n=XX) across all paragraphs of the results

Table 1: Socio-demographic Characteristics of Doctors

Variable	Frequency (n = 61)	Percent (%)
Sex		
Male	35	57.4
Female	26	42.6
Age		
21 – 25	10	16.4
26 – 30	21	34.4
31 – 35	7	11.5
36 – 40	9	14.8
41 – 45	12	19.7
46 – 50	1	1.6
Above 50	1	1.6
Religion		
Christian	61	100.0
Marital Status		
Married	34	55.7
Single	27	44.3
Doctor's Rank		
House Officer	29	47.5
Medical Officer	1	1.6
Registrar	10	16.4
Senior Registrar	6	9.8
Consultant	15	24.6

3.2. Socio Demography Characteristics of Medical students (Table 2)

Majority of the medical students were males (57.0%), while 47.0% were females. Quite a large number of the students were in the age group 21 – 15 years (80.0%), while 16.0% were in the

group 26 – 30 years. Only one (1.0%) respondent fell into the age group 20 years and below, while 3 (3.0%) were 31 years and above. Only one person (1.0%) was a Muslim, while the rest were Christians; and also only 7 (7.0%) were married. Most of the students were in their 500 level of study (54.0%), followed by 32.0% that were in 600 level, while only 14.0% were in 400 level.

Table 2: Socio Demography characteristics of medical students

Variable	Frequency (n = 100)	Percent (%)
Sex		
Male	53	53.0
Female	47	47.0
Age		
Below 20	1	1.0
21 – 25	80	80.0
26 – 30	16	16.0
31 – 35	1	1.0
36 – 40	2	2.0
Religion		
Christian	99	99.0
Muslim	1	1.0
Marital Status		
Married	7	7.0
Single	93	93.0
Students Level of Study		
400	14	14.0
500	54	54.0
600	32	32.0

Comment [A16]: For statistical analysis for association, it will be good to summarize sociodemographic characteristics for both doctors and medical students while also indicating frequency and % of doctors and students in the table.

3.3. Infection Prevention and Control practices among medical doctors and clinical medical students (Table 3)

Almost equal percentage of medical doctors and students (98.4% and 97.0% respectively) agreed that they practice hand hygiene, while 85.2% and 81.0% responded that they always use face mask in the hospital environment. Only 14.0% of students use eye goggles in the hospital when indicated, while as high as 67.2% of medical doctors use eye goggles when indicated. More doctors (80.3%) make use of protective gown when indicated, as against 53.0% of students that comply with the same practice. More doctors (82.0%) use head cover when indicated, while 67.0% of students use head cover when indicated. Slightly more doctors (98.4%) than students

(92.0%) change gloves after examining every patient, while 95.1% of doctors wash hands after removal of gloves, and 81.0% of students do same. About half of the doctors (52.5%) put on hand gloves when attending to fever patients, but only 34.0% of students practice same. All the doctors (100.0%) however put on hand gloves when attending to bleeding patients, while 97.0% of medical students always put on hand gloves when attending to bleeding patients. Almost equal percentage of doctors (88.5%) and students (86.0%) put on hand gloves when attending to vomiting patients. Only 4.9% of doctors and 24.0% of students do not recap needle after injection. As high as 96.7% of doctors, and 84.0% of students dispose sharps in puncture-proof containers for sharps.

3.4. Some barriers to good Infection Prevention and Control practices among medical doctors and clinical medical students (Table 4)

Majority of the doctors (70.5%) and students (67.0%) believe that inadequate supply of PPE by the hospital management is a barrier to PPE use in the facility. Most of the respondents (57.4% of doctors and 62.0% of students) do not believe that any discomfort associated with PPE use is a barrier to using PPE, and also do not believe that lack of PPE knowledge hinders their using them (57.4% of doctors, and 60.0% of students). Most, again (57.4% of doctors and 72.0% of students) do not see lack of correct knowledge on hand washing technique as a barrier to regular hand washing practice as prescribed. About half of the doctors (50.8%) do not believe that lack of adequate water supply is a barrier to regular hand washing practice as prescribed; while more students (64.0%) share the same believe. With respect to the availability of liquid soap, 57.4% of doctors, and 60.0% of students do not agree that lack of liquid soap is a barrier to regular hand washing practice as prescribed. Majority of the respondents (75.4% of doctors, and 80.0% of students) again do not see occurrence of emergencies as a barrier to regular hand washing practice as prescribed. However, most doctors (67.2%), and students (65.0%) commonly forget to wash their hands as prescribed. Majority do not consider hand washing a time-consuming activity (83.6% of doctors, and 82.0% of students). Only 19.7% of doctors knew sharps and used needles should be disposed in Safety Boxes, while 37.0% of students possess this knowledge.

4. DISCUSSION

Good knowledge on Infection Prevention and Control does not always translate into good IPC practices by the Health Care workers [39]. It is good IPC practices that prevent HAI morbidity and mortality among health care workers, patients and visitors to health care facilities [40,41]. Doctors and medical students in the study tertiary health facility reported varying levels of IPC practices (Table 3). The practice of hand hygiene and the use of facemask among medical doctors and students in this study is impressive, with each group reporting above 80.0% correct practice. Some previous studies in Nigeria found lower hand washing practices among doctors, and health care workers generally. In a 550 bed capacity tertiary hospital in Northwest Nigeria in 2015, only 52.0% of the medical doctors washed their hands in between patients care [42], and 58.5% of HCWs practiced hand hygiene after touching patients [29]. The relatively poor performance with respect to hand hygiene in these studies could be attributed to less training and awareness of IPC in those facilities, though there are no research findings to support this assertion. In another earlier study (2013) in Nigeria, consistent use of facemask when

Comment [A17]: It would have been useful to include data on availability of PPEs in the facility to corroborate this finding

Comment [A18]: It would have been useful to evaluate level of knowledge on IPC alongside practices and barriers among respondents of this study

Comment [A19]: Before moving into discussing results, it is important to provide a general overview of the study and its aim.

Comment [A20]: Any possible factor responsible for this high percentage?

Comment [A21]: References???

Comment [A22]: Was this study of Ogiona et al., 2015 also in Northwest Nigeria?

Comment [A23]: You should not include possible reasons for findings from previous research not stated by the researcher. You may state that no reasons were stated for such findings.

undertaking procedures likely to generate splashes was as low as 51.2% [43]. In 2015 also, lower practice rate of 66.7% for hand washing after patients' care/contact with body fluid, when compared to our finding, was reported in Ethiopia.[39]. This could also imply better IPC training in our study site. Higher hand washing practice rate (99.4%), and use of facemask (93.9%) were recorded among Clinical year medical students in Malaysia in 2016 [44] This could have resulted from probably more IPC training for health care workers, and better health system in Malaysia. There is a wide gap in the number of doctors that used eye goggles when indicated (67.2%), when compared to medical students (14.0%). This could imply very poor knowledge among medical students on use of eye goggles. The eye goggles use among doctors in this study is comparable to the finding in the year 2015 (60.0%), in a similar study conducted in Northwestern Nigeria [42].

Eye goggles use among clinical year medical students of a private medical school in Malaysia is still just about average (49.1%) [44]. It is thus important to improve on the content of IPC training given to medical students. Overwhelming majority of doctors in the study health facility reported using protective gown (80.3%), when compared to medical students that reported slightly above average usage of protective gown among them (53.0%). This is close to 50.2% usage recorded among health care workers in a tertiary hospital, Edo state, Nigeria [43]. Lower usage rates of 46.0% were recorded among doctors in a tertiary referral centre in Northwest Nigeria [42]; and 41.33% among health care workers in Debre Markos referral hospital, Northwest Ethiopia [39]. Though Ethiopia is a developing country like Nigeria, where IPC practices are generally said to be poor, when compared to developed countries; this very poor usage of protective gown could be a pointer to poor health system or inadequate training and awareness activities. Malaysia, which could be said to be more developed than Nigeria and Ethiopia, recorded protective gown usage of 84.8% among clinical medical students [44]. This probably could have resulted from better IPC training and awareness activities in Malaysia. More doctors (82.0%) than medical students (67.0%), used head cover in our study when indicated. This is in keeping with the expectation that doctors ought to be more knowledgeable than medical students on IPC issues. Head cover use among medical students in our study, though lower, is still comparable with 72.7% use among clinical year medical students of a private medical school in Malaysia [44].

It is commendable to note that a very high/overwhelming numbers of doctors (98.4%), and medical students (92.0%) change gloves after examining each patient. The finding here is better than what was documented in a study among health workers (80.2%) in a tertiary hospital, in Edo state, Nigeria [43]. This finding in Edo state, Nigeria could have been better, if the study was conducted among doctors and medical students only. Data from the other cadre of health care workers could have contributed in lowering the overall score. It is also commendable that impressive numbers of doctors (95.1%), and medical students (81.0%) wash their hands after removal of gloves. This practice was again reported to be lower (72.5%) in the same Edo, Nigeria study [43]. Barely half of the doctors (52.5%) put on hand gloves when attending to fever patients, while very low number of medical students (34.0%) do same. Efforts ought to be made to improve on this practice, since occasionally you could have fever cases that point to highly contagious diseases such as viral haemorrhagic fever. All doctors in the study group put on hand gloves when attending to bleeding patients, while as high as 97.0% of medical students do same. This could be as a result of the high awareness created among health care workers

Comment [A24]: How do you adjudge your training(s) better? It is better to highlight the frequency of trainings on IPC held and possibly when the last training was conducted. This may then be not unconnected to the high % of respondents with good practices?

But again, does this reflect in other areas of IPC among the respondents?

Comment [A25]: Is there a reference/evidence for this?

Comment [A26]: Besides training gaps, are there others possible factors responsible for this? E.g availability or access etc.?

Comment [A27]: Reference?

Comment [A28]: Generally, I think there was an opportunity to explore other factors responsible for poor practices/usage of PPEs e.g availability of these PPEs in the first place. If these PPEs are available and not in-use, this would have improved on the discussion and possible responsible reasons/factors.

Comment [A29]: Similar? 80.2% is not a poor rate

Comment [A30]: This is not very clear. You can recast this sentence to buttress this point: the study in Edo was conducted among the general health workers and data was not disaggregated to show proportion of doctors and medical students- "data from the other cadre of health care workers could have contributed in lowering the overall score"

Comment [A31]: You have not provided information on possible reasons for the findings from your present study

globally, about Universal precautions, with the advent of HIV/AIDS epidemics. Practice of the use of hand gloves when attending to vomiting patients was also good among doctors (88.5%) and medical students (86.0%). A very worrisome finding in this study is the practice of recapping needle after injection. This is the only area in this study, where doctors reported worse practice (95.1%) than medical students (76.0%). Some doctors believe that carefully recapping needle after injection is safer overall, since it is argued that the hospital has poor waste disposal practices, and some used needles could be seen lying around. This assertion requires further research work. It was found in 2013 in Edo state, Nigeria that only 36.3% of health workers always or sometimes recap needle after injection [43]. Also in a tertiary referral centre, Northwest Nigeria, it was found that only 31.3% of doctors recap needle after injection [42]. This better practice in Edo state and Northwest Nigeria could be as a result of better sensitization and awareness creation activities. Curiously, a high practice rate of recapping (79.4%) was also recorded among clinical medical students in Malaysia. The practice of disposing sharps in puncture-proof containers is impressive among both doctors (96.7%), and medical students (84.0%) in our study. This good IPC practice is also high (80.0%) among health workers in a tertiary hospital, Edo state, Nigeria [43]. Above average practice of 64.7% was recorded among health care workers in Debre Markos referral hospital, Northwest Ethiopia [39]. It is possible that better sensitization and awareness activities could have achieved better results on this aspect of IPC practice in Nigeria, than in Ethiopia.

Comment [A32]: This study had the opportunity to underscore the reasons for these practices.

What are the practical implications of this findings? State them.

Comment [A33]: Were there not stated factors responsible for these findings from the previous studies? It is useful to reference these possible reasons from past studies.

Comment [A34]: reference

Table 3: Infection Prevention and Control practices among medical doctors and students

Question	Doctor/Student	Correct Practice	Wrong Practice
Do you practice hand hygiene	Doctor	60 (98.4%)	1 (1.6%)
	Student	97 (97.0%)	3 (3.0%)
Do you always use face mask in the hospital environment?	Doctor	52 (85.2%)	9 (14.8%)
	Student	81 (81.0%)	19 (19.0%)
Do you always use Eye Goggles when indicated?	Doctor	41 (67.2%)	20 (32.8%)
	Student	14 (14.0%)	86 (86.0%)
Do you always use protective gown when indicated?	Doctor	49 (80.3%)	12 (19.7%)
	Student	53 (53.0%)	47 (47.0%)
Do you always use head cover when indicated?	Doctor	50 (82.0%)	11 (18.0%)
	Student	67 (67.0%)	33 (33.0%)
Do you change gloves after	Doctor	60 (98.4%)	1 (1.6%)

examining every patient?	Student	92 (92.0%)	8 (8.0%)
Do you wash your hands after removal of gloves?	Doctor	58 (95.1%)	3 (4.9%)
	Student	81 (81.0%)	19 (19.0%)
Do you put on hand gloves when attending to Fever patients?	Doctor	32 (52.5%)	29 (47.5%)
	Student	34 (34.0%)	66 (66.0%)
Do you put on hand gloves when attending to bleeding patients?	Doctor	61 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	Student	97 (97.0%)	3 (3.0%)
Do you put on hand gloves when attending to Vomiting patients?	Doctor	54 (88.5%)	7 (11.5%)
	Student	86 (86.0%)	14 (14.0%)
Do you recap needles after giving injection?	Doctor	3 (4.9%)	58 (95.1%)
	Student	24 (24.0%)	76 (76.0%)
Do you dispose sharps in puncture proof containers for sharps (Safety boxes)?	Doctor	59 (96.7%)	2 (3.3%)
	Student	84 (84.0%)	16 (16.0%)

Table 4 summarizes some barriers to good infection prevention and control practices reported by doctors and medical students that participated in this study. Many of the doctors (70.5%), and medical students (67.0%) believed that inadequate supply of Personal Protective Equipment by the hospital management was a barrier to using it in the hospital. This non-availability of PPE at the service delivery points in hospitals was documented in studies among health care workers in some other health facilities in Nigeria and other developing countries such as Ghana. As high as 91.3% of doctors in a tertiary hospital, South-South Nigeria reported lack of appropriate resources as being barrier to practice of infection control measures [45]. The finding among

Comment [A35]: It would have been useful to have had follow up questions and analysis on reasons for wrong practices. I.e among those who only reported wrong practices, follow up questions on reasons will be clearly highlighted areas of gaps for intervention. This is different from the barriers highlighted in table 4 (which was reported among all 161 respondents). If this is available, please include...

Comment [A36]: It would be good to have the overall level of IPC practices by respondents (good/correct or poor/wrong)

health care workers in the Lower Manya Krobo District, Ghana on availability of PPE being a barrier to the use (74.0%) [46], was slightly higher than our finding among doctors. This reported non-availability of PPE in the health facilities in some developing countries could be as a result of poor funding of the health care delivery system, or perceived non-importance of PPE, by health care managers in these places. These assertions require further research work. Very few doctors (4.9%) and medical students (11.0%) in our study perceived discomfort associated with donning PPE, a barrier to using them. Our finding is comparable to 5.0% of Healthcare workers in the Lower Manya Krobo District of Ghana, that reported protective gear was uncomfortable [46]. Some studies such as the one conducted in 2016 at King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Center, a tertiary care hospital in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, however found 86.0% of healthcare workers perceiving the use of PPE as “stressful [47]. The Saudi Arabian study was conducted during an outbreak of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection, and there were many patients whose care, mandatorily required health care workers to don full PPE. This invariably became a source of stress, since the frequency of donning and doffing the PPE increased. It is possible that this high level of PPE being seen as uncomfortable would have been reported in our study, and the Ghanaian study if the studies were conducted during disease outbreak periods. As high as 37.7% of doctors not being sure if lack of knowledge is a barrier to their use of PPE in this our study could be a pointer to poor PPE knowledge among them. Many doctors were aware that they could get infected during the process of doffing PPE. This may contribute to their unwillingness to use PPE. This thinking however requires further research work.

Only 4.9% of doctors and 13.0% of medical students reported lack of knowledge as a barrier to their using PPE. This could account for the above average use of PPE by respondents in this study (table 3) above. However, insufficient training in infection control measures, which could imply lack of knowledge; was reported as being a barrier to PPE use by 91.0% of doctors in a Saudi Arabian study conducted during MERS Coronavirus outbreak [48]. Respondents in our study have never been challenged with coping with caring for large numbers of infectious disease patients at a point in their practice, hence they have never been exposed to frequent use of PPE. Curiously, reasonable number of doctors (42.6%), reported lack of knowledge as a barrier to hand washing practice, when compared to the number (4.9%) that saw lack of knowledge as a barrier to the use of PPE. While fewer doctors (4.9%), than medical students (13.0%) believed that lack of knowledge was a barrier to use of PPE, more (42.6%) believed that lack of knowledge was a barrier to practice of hand washing; when compared to medical students (28.0%). It is possible that the doctors were aware that specific technique was required for hand washing, at specified five moments; and responded to that particular question as it affects their hand washing technique and knowledge of five moments for hand washing knowledge. A national web-based survey conducted among health care workers in Qatar, between November 2020 and January 2021; revealed that only 3.8% of respondents reported that lack of hand hygiene training was a barrier to the practice of hand hygiene [49]. It is most likely that more trainings provide more opportunities to improve one’s knowledge on hand hygiene; hence respondents in the cited Qatar study had a lot more knowledge than the medical students and doctors in our own study. Qatar is a more advanced country than Nigeria, and probably has a more organized health care delivery system.

Higher proportion of doctors than medical students responded that lack of adequate water supply (49.2%), and lack of liquid soap (42.6%) were barriers to regular hand washing practice as prescribed. On the other hand, only 36.0% of medical students reported that lack of adequate water supply was a barrier to regular hand washing practice as prescribed, while 40.0% believed that lack of liquid soap was also a barrier to regular hand washing practice as prescribed. Water supply to the hospital whose doctors and students we studied could be problematic, since the main source of water is through vendors that use water tanker vehicles. However, in spite of this; majority of the doctors (50.8%), and medical students (64.0%) did not perceive lack of adequate water supply as a barrier to regular hand washing practice as prescribed. Also, majority of the doctors and medical students (57.4% and 60.0% respectively) did not believe that lack of liquid soap was a barrier to regular hand washing practice as prescribed. Liquid soap used in the study health facility is produced in the hospital. This possibly improved the availability of liquid soap.

Most doctors (75.4%) and students (80.0%) did not believe that the occurrence of medical/surgical emergencies constituted barrier to regular hand washing practice. Some researchers in Ghana however reported that just half (50.0%) of the respondents in a research among health care workers did not believe that occurrence of medical/surgical emergency was a barrier to compliance of standard precaution generally [46]. On the other hand, some researchers in Canada in 2007, found that health care workers were less likely to comply with recommended IPC practices when attending to patients with severe illnesses. The authors suggested that this could be due to the health care workers prioritizing the safety of the patient [50]. This apparent better result among respondents in our study, could be because doctors and medical students by their training appreciate the importance of infection prevention and control practices. With respect to forgetfulness of washing hands, majority of doctors (67.2%), and students (65.0%) again submitted that they commonly forget to wash their hands as prescribed. This probably could be because the doctors and students had not received any formal training on IPC before this study was conducted. Doctors and medical students probably would not commonly forget to wash their hands if they are trained on the World Health Organization's 5-moments of hand hygiene. Forgetfulness on general IPC practices was reported among 10.5% of respondents in a study on compliance and barriers to the use of infection prevention and control measures among health care workers during COVID-19 pandemic in Qatar, in 2021 [49]. It is probable that most of the respondents (89.5%) in the cited Qatar study, did not forget to practice IPC because they could have been better trained on IPC than respondents in own study. Since Qatar is more developed than Nigeria, it is also probable that the health system is more developed. The finding in this study, that most doctors (83.6%) and medical students (82.0%) did not consider hand washing practice a time-consuming activity, implies that most of them had good attitude and perception of hand hygiene. Giving them adequate training on hand hygiene would greatly improve their practice. Proper disposal of sharps and used needles is key to preventing Health Care Associated infections that occur as a result of sharp/needle prick injuries. It is worrisome to find in this our study, that very few doctors (19.7%) knew that sharps and used needles should be disposed in Safety Boxes. Hospital management providing safety boxes at service delivery points in the hospital, and training doctors and other health care workers on the use of safety boxes is of utmost importance.

Table 4: Barriers to good Infection Prevention and Control practices among medical doctors and students

Question	Doctor/Student	Yes	No	Not Sure
Is inadequate supply of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) by the hospital management a barrier to use?	Doctor	43 (70.5%)	18 (29.5%)	0 (0.0%)
	Student	67 (67.0%)	33 (33.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Is PPE making you uncomfortable a barrier to the use?	Doctor	3 (4.9%)	35 (57.4%)	23 (37.7%)
	Student	11 (11.0%)	62 (62.0%)	27 (27.0%)
Is lack of PPE knowledge a barrier to your use of PPE?	Doctor	3 (4.9%)	35 (57.4%)	23 (37.7%)
	Student	13 (13.0%)	60 (60.0%)	27 (27.0%)
Is lack of knowledge on correct hand washing technique a barrier to your regular hand washing practice, as prescribed?	Doctor	26 (42.6%)	35 (57.4%)	0 (0.0%)
	Student	28 (28.0%)	72 (72.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Is lack of adequate water supply a barrier to your regular hand washing practice, as prescribed?	Doctor	30 (49.2%)	31 (50.8%)	0 (0.0%)
	Student	36 (36.0%)	64 (64.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Is lack of liquid soap a barrier to your regular hand washing practice, as prescribed?	Doctor	26 (42.6%)	35 (57.4%)	0 (0.0%)
	Student	40 (40.0%)	60 (60.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Is the occurrence of medical/surgical emergency a	Doctor	15 (24.6%)	46 (75.4%)	0 (0.0%)
	Student	20 (20.0%)	80 (80.0%)	0 (0.0%)

barrier to your regular hand washing practice, as prescribed?				
Do you commonly forget to wash your hands as prescribed?	Doctor	41 (67.2%)	20 (32.8%)	0 (0.0%)
	Student	65 (65.0%)	35 (35.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you consider hand washing practice a time-consuming activity?	Doctor	10 (16.4%)	51 (83.6%)	0 (0.0%)
	Student	18 (18.0%)	82 (82.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you know that Sharps and used needles should be disposed in Safety Boxes for disposal of sharps and used needles?	Doctor	12 (19.7%)	24 (39.3%)	25 (41.0%)
	Student	37 (37.0%)	18 (18.0%)	45 (45.0%)

Strengths and limitations of study

Comment [A37]: there is need to state the strengths and limitations of this study

CONCLUSIONS

Good IPC practice among health care workers, including medical doctors and clinical medical students is key to preventing morbidity and mortality resulting from Health Care Associated infections. Doctors in this study demonstrated good practice of essential hand hygiene and use of personal protective equipment, giving correct response in over 50.0% of the questions asked in these areas. Their practice recapping needles after injections is however very worrisome, with as high as 95.1% of them indulging in this practice. Even clinical medical students performed better in this aspect, with 24.0% of them recapping needle after injection. Clinical medical students also reported good hand hygiene practices and use of PPE of over 50.0% in all aspects that were investigated except use of Eye goggles when indicated, and using hand gloves when attending to fever patients.

Comment [A38]: No need including percentages here

In the area of barriers to good IPC practice, over 50.0% of doctors and clinical medical students considered inadequate supply of PPE and forgetfulness of hand washing, barriers to good IPC practices. Only very few doctors (19.7%), and students (37.0%) knew that sharps and used needles should be disposed in safety boxes. This is a huge barrier to good IPC practice, and is worrisome. Additionally, 59.0% of doctors considered non-availability of Safety boxes, barrier to IPC practices.

Comment [A39]: You need to give clear recommendations and possible state areas for further study/research

CONSENT

Oral informed consent was extracted from each respondent, prior to completing the questionnaire. The objectives of the study were adequately explained to the respondents.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Medical Research Ethical Review committee, of Enugu State University Teaching Hospital, Enugu.

REFERENCES

1. Pittet D, Allegranzi B, Storr J, Bagheri Nejad S, Dziekan G, Leotsakos A, Donaldson L. infection control as a major World Health Organization priority for developing countries. *J Hosp Infect*, 2008; 68 (4): 285 – 292
2. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/gpsc/country_work/burden_hcai/en (Accessed on 13/05/2021)
3. Ayub A, Goyal A, Kotwal A, Kulkarni A, Kotwal A, Mahen A. infection Control practices in health care: Teaching and learning requirements of medical undergraduates. *Med J Armed Forces India*, 2013; 69(2): 107 – 112
4. Vincent JL. Nosocomial Infections in Adult Intensive Units. *Lancet*, 2003; 361(9374): 2068 – 2077
5. Lazzari S, Allegranzi B, Concia E. Making hospital safer: The need for a global strategy for infection control in health care setting. *World Hosp Health Serv*, 2004; 40(2): 36 – 42
6. Wilburn SQ, Eijkemans G. Preventing needle stick injuries among health care workers: A WHO-ICN collaboration. *Int J Occup and Environ Health*, 200; 10: 451 – 456
7. Burke JP. Infection control: A problem for patient safety. *N England J Med* , 2003; 348: 651 – 656
8. Bates DW, Larizgoitia I, Prasopa-Plaizier N, Jha AK. Global priorities for patients safety research, *BMJ*, 338: b1775, 2009
9. Allegranzi B, Pittet D. Health Care-Associated Infection in developing countries: simple solutions to meet complex challenges. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*, 2007 ; 28(12): 1323 – 1327
10. Arabi Y, Al-Shirawi N, Memish Z, Anzueto A. ventilator- associated pneumonia in adults in developing countries: a systematic review. *Int J Infect Dis*, 2008: 12: 505 – 512
11. Wikipedia. Infection Prevention and Control. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/infection_prevention_and_control (Accessed 28/05/2021)
12. Gulilat K, Tirunch G. Assessment of knowledge , attitude and practice of health care workers on infection prevention in Health institution Bahir Dar City administration. *Sci J Pub Health*, 2014; 384 – 393

Comment [A40]: Lazzari S, Allegranzi B, Concia E. Making hospitals safer: the need for a global strategy for infection control in health care settings. *World Hospitals and Health Services*. 2004 Jan 1;40(2):32-9.

13. Center for Disease Control. History of Guidelines for Isolation Precautions in hospitals (2007) <http://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/isolation/appendix/history.html> (Accessed 28/05/2021)
14. Reda A A., Fisseha S, Mengistie B, Vandeweerd JM. Standard precautions: occupational exposure and behavior of health care workers in Ethiopia. *PLoS One* 2010;5(12):1–6.
15. Mashoto KO, Mubyazi GM, Mushi AK. Knowledge of occupational exposure to HIV: a cross sectional study of healthcare workers in Tumbi and Dodoma hospitals, Tanzania. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2015;15(1):1–6.
16. Nigeria Centre for Disease Control. Infection Prevention and Control, Recommendations During Health Care Provisions for Suspected and Confirmed Cases of COVID-19. Federal Ministry of Health, Abuja, Nigeria, 2020
17. Dannelum E, Tegnell A, Torner A, Giesecke J. Coverage of hepatitis B vaccination in Swedish health care workers. *Journal of Hospital Infection.* 2006;63(2):201-204.
18. Domkam IK, Sonela N, Kamgaing N, Takam PS, Gwom L, Michel T, et al. Prevalence and risk factors to HIV-infection amongst health care workers within public and private health facilities in Cameroon. 2018; 8688:1–7.
19. Lewis JD, Enfield KB, Sifri CD. Hepatitis B in healthcare workers: Transmission events and guidance for management. *World J Hepatol.* 2015;7(3):488–497. doi:10.4254/wjh.v7.i3.488
20. Jahic R, Piljic D, Porobic-jahic H, Custović A. Epidemiological Characteristics of the Accidental Exposures to Blood-Borne Pathogens Among Workers in the Hospital. *Med. Arch.* 2018;72(3):187–91.
21. Wyżowski P, Rosiek A, Grzela T, Leksowski K. Occupational HIV risk for health care workers: risk factor and the risk of infection in the course of professional activities. *TherClin Risk Manag.* 2016; 12():989-94
22. Samargandy SA, Bukhari LM, SamargandyShaza S, Bahlas RS, Aldigs EK, Alawi MM, et al. Epidemiology and clinical consequences of occupational exposure to blood and other body fluids in a university hospital in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J.* 2016;37(7):783–790.
23. Mueller A, Stoetter L, Kalluvya S *et al.* Prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection among health care workers in a tertiary hospital in Tanzania. *BMC Infect Dis*15, 386 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1129-z>
24. Ziraba AK, Bwogi J, Namale A, Wainaina CW, Mayanja-Kizza H. Sero-prevalence and risk factors for hepatitis B virus infection among health care workers in a tertiary hospital in Uganda. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2010; 10:191
25. Abdul-Hakeem O.A, Adebukola B.A, Kabir B.B, Adenike O.L, Abdulrazzaq O.L, Quadri O.A. Prevalence of HBsAg, knowledge, and vaccination practice against viral hepatitis B infection among doctors and nurses in a secondary health care facility in Lagos state, South-western Nigeria. *Pan African Medical Journal.* 2016; 23:160 doi:10.11604/pamj.2016.23.160.8710.
26. Gadzama GB, Bawa SB, Ajinoma Z, Saidu MM, Umar AS. Injection safety practices in a main referral hospital in Northeastern Nigeria. *Niger J ClinPract.* 2014;17:134–9
27. Akpuh N, Ajayi I.O, Adebowale A, Suleiman H.I, Nguku P, Dalhat M et al. Occupational exposure to HIV among healthcare workers in PMTCT sites in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. *BMC Public Health,* 2020; 20: 451. doi: [10.1186/s12889-020-08528-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-08528-5)

28. Habib F, Khan DK, Shan-E-Abbas, Bhatti F, Zafar A. Knowledge and beliefs among health care workers regarding hepatitis B infection and needle stick injuries at a tertiary care hospital, Karachi. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak.* 2011;21:317–8
29. Ogoina D, Pondei K, Adetunji B, Chima G, Isichei C, Gidado S. Knowledge, attitude and practice of standard precautions of infection control by hospital workers in two tertiary hospitals in Nigeria. *J Infect Prev.* 2015; 16(1): 16–22
30. Ibeziako SN, Ibekwe RC. Knowledge and practice of universal precaution in a tertiary health facility. *Niger J Med.* 2006;15:250–4
31. D'Agata EM, Horn MA, Ruan S, Webb GF, Wares JR. Efficacy of infection control interventions in reducing the spread of multidrug-resistant organisms in the hospital setting. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e30170
32. Chen YC, Sheng WH, Wang JT, Chang SC, Lin HC, Tien KL, et al. Effectiveness and limitations of hand hygiene promotion on decreasing healthcare-associated infections. *PLoS One.* 2011;6: e27163
33. Hanmore E, Maclaine G, Garin F, Alonso A, Leroy N, Ruff L. Economic benefits of safety-engineered sharp devices in Belgium-a budget impact model. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2013; 13:489
34. Erasmus V, Brouwer W, Van Beek EF, et al. a qualitative exploration of reasons for poor hand hygiene among hospital workers: lack of positive role models and of convincing evidence that hand hygiene prevents cross-infection. *Infect Control HospEpidemiol.* 2009; 30(5): 415-419. Doi:10.1086/596773
35. Uzochukwu BSC. Primary Health Care Systems (PRIMASYS). A case study from Nigeria. Geneva: World Health Org. 2017
36. Uzochukwu BSC, Onwujekwe O.E, Ezumah N. The district Health System in Enugu State, Nigeria: An analysis of policy development and implementation. *African Journal of Health Economics.* Dec 2014: vol 3 (1): 0001
37. Enugu State University Teaching Hospital Management. Enugu State University Teaching Hospital Strategic Plan 2021 – 2024. ESUT Teaching Hospital, 2020.
38. Araoye MO. Research Methodology with Statistics for Health and Social Sciences. NATHADEX PUBLISHERS, Ilorin, 2003.
39. Desta M, Ayenew T, Sitotaw N, Dires M, Gtie M. Knowledge, practice and associated factors of infection prevention among healthcare workers in Debre Markos referral hospital, North-West Ethiopia. *BMC Health Serv. Res.*2018; 18:465
40. Boyce JM, Pittet D. Guidelines for hand hygiene in health-care settings: recommendations of the healthcare infection control practices advisory committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA hand hygiene task force. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol,* 2002; 23(12 Suppl): 53 – 40
41. Saito H, Inoue K, Ditai J, Wanume B, Abeso J, Weeks A. Alcohol-based hand rub and incidence of health care associated infections in a rural regional referral and teaching hospital in Uganda. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control,* 2017; 6(Suppl 3): 026
42. Iliyasu G, Dayyab FM, Habib ZG, et al. Knowledge and practices of infection control among healthcare workers in a Tertiary Referral Center in North-Western Nigeria. *Ann Afr Med,* 2015; 15(1):34-40. doi:10.4103/1596-3519.161724

Comment [A41]: Couldn't find this case study from Nigeria. Please recheck

43. Tobin EA, Asogun DA, Odia I, Ehidihamhen G. Knowledge and practice of infection control among health workers in a tertiary hospital in Edo state, Nigeria. *Direct Res J Health and Pharm (DRJHP)*, 2013; 1(2): 20 - 27
44. Sugathan S, Ching CS, Singh D, Singh B, Gopalakrishnan V, et al. Awareness, Attitude and Practice of Infection Control Among Clinical Year Medical Students of a Private Medical School in Malaysia. *J Global Pharma Technology*, 2018; 10(12 Suppl): 116 - 122
45. Uvieroghene AJ, Best O. Knowledge and practice of infection control of doctors in different medical specialties of a tertiary hospital in South-South Nigeria. *Port Harcourt Med J*, 2018; 12: 10 – 17
46. Akagbo SE, Nortey P, Ackumey M. Knowledge of Standard Precautions and barriers to compliance among healthcare workers in the Lower Manya Krobo District, Ghana. *BMC Res Notes*, 2017, 10:432
47. Khalid I, Khalid TJ, Qabajah MR, Barnard AG, Qushmaq IA. Healthcare Workers Emotions, Perceived Stressors and Coping Strategies During a MERS-CoV Outbreak. *Clin Med & Res* 2016, 14(1): 7-14
48. Alshafi AJ, Cheng AC. Knowledge, Attitudes and Behaviours of Healthcare Workers in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia to MERS Coronavirus and Other Emerging Infectious Diseases. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2016, 13, 1214
49. Alah MA, Selim N, Hamdani D, Radwan E, Sharaf N, AL-Katheeri H, et al. Compliance and Barriers to the use of infection prevention and control measures among health care workers during COVID-19 pandemic in Qatar: A national survey. *J Nurs Manag*, 2021; 29: 2401 – 2411
50. Shigayeva A, Green K, Raboud JM, Henry B, Simor AE, Vearncombe M, Zoutman D, Loeb M, McGeer A. Factors associated with critical-care healthcare workers' adherence to recommended barrier precautions during the Toronto severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*, 2007 Nov;28(11):1275-83.