Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_88948
Title of the Manuscript:	DRUG UTILIZATION EVALUATION IN COVID- 19 HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS: A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should
		write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Very long paragraphs and are sometimes difficult to understand.	
	2. The importance of the work should be highlighted. Put the most relevant results and	
	support them with references.	
	3. Review the citations in the text and that it corresponds with the references.	
	4. It is noted that it is a cut and paste of other works (wikipedia).	
	5. Review the text very well since when changing the font format the symbol format has	
	been lost, example: □- interferon	
Minor REVISION comments	1 Change "&" to "and"	
	2 There are 2 types of fonts in the text.	
	3 Reference 5 is from the autor Kamsali Hema and not from the author Peddoju Moulika.	
	4 In Discussion, put the % percent better than n(%). It's easier to read that way. example:	
	"Oseltamivir was the most widely used antiviral, which was administered to 51.6% patients"	
	5 The table 1 Table 1 can be gender vs age. It would give us much more information.	
Optional/General comments		
Optional/General comments	It is good recovered, but botter recoults can be obtained if the recoults obtained are botter everygood	
	It is good research, but better results can be obtained if the results obtained are better expressed. Congratulations.	
	Congratulations.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teresa Téllez Santana
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Málaga, España

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)