

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_88489
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of surface APRIL (A Proliferation Inducing Ligand, CD256) expression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please write clearly the terms used with abbreviations such as AMC where they are first used and include the abbreviation in parentheses.2. Include the customer information of the analysis kits (APRIL) used in the study.3. Please provide more detailed information about the surface APRIL detected by the flow cytometry method in the "Patients and Method" section, explaining the method of application.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please indicate the limitations of the study.	
Optional/General comments	A good case-control study on the association between surface APRIL with disease activity in patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis, consistent with the available literature. In the 'Discussion' section, comments to be made in the light of the literature suggesting that telitacicept and drugs with similar mechanisms, which are approved for the treatment of SLE and target APRIL, may also be a treatment option for the treatment of RA, may open a door for future studies.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The paper does not contain any information that ethics committee approval was obtained for the study. Was an informed written consent form obtained from all volunteers participating in the study? Not specified in the paper	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Filiz Mercantepe
Department, University & Country	Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Turkey