

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_86875
Title of the Manuscript:	Hysteroscopy versus Three-dimensional Transvaginal ultrasonography for the Detection of Endometrial Cavity Abnormalities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-Kindly, edit and proofread the manuscript for grammatical, typographical, and syntax errors</li><li>-kindly .It appears the sample size calculation was missing in the methodology section the manuscript include it to improve</li><li>-State how you will summarise the data based on its distribution e.g symmetrical continuous data can be summarised using means and standard deviation while asymmetrical -median and range; categorical -frequencies and percentages</li><li>- or R X C 2x2provide a Please table showing the number of cases identified correctly or TVUS or Hystrosopy before the Table -D3 ;e.i 'tests or investigations'not by each of the .d flowPPV and accuracy for ease of understating an ,NPV ,specificity ,showing sensitivity</li><li>- Insert intext references as specified in the discussion.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-You may use the new name of the statistical software that was used for the data analysis</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good and interesting work	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felix Chikaike Clement Wekere
Department, University & Country	University Teaching Hospital, Rivers State University, Nigeria