

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_86444
Title of the Manuscript:	Extensive Chronic Type B Aortic Dissection Extending To The Left Common Femoral Artery, A Rare Case Report.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the abstract- CT scan is described as the gold standard for diagnosis of AD. CT aortogram is the gold standard. In the introduction- Incidence is 2.6 to 3.5 per.. not 2,6 to 3,5. In the case report- ECG does not show LVH by any criteria. In the discussion- At least some mention should be made of the role of endovascular repair (even if not indicated in this specific case).	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Case report- Lisinorpil 10 od should be 10 mg once daily . Instead of "we elected to perfume directly a cardiac CT scan with contrast", the sentence should be- "we elected to directly perform a cardiac CT scan with contrast". Tense should always be past- instead of "The origin of the left subclavian artery, celiac trunk, and left renal artery seems to be involved", it should be "The origin of the left subclavian artery, celiac trunk, and left renal artery seemed to be involved". "He remained, asymptomatic" should be "He remained asymptomatic,", i.e. comma should be after asymptomatic.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	---	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nishad Chitnis
Department, University & Country	Heart Care Clinic, India