

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_85993
Title of the Manuscript:	A prospective study of Leptospirosis as a differential diagnosis of Dengue like illness
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>No ethical approval for the study. The ethical approval number should be included.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Typographical errors in the abstract; Lab should be written in full  SGOT/SGPT should be written in full initially before subsequent abbreviation  Data collection: Change 'in the month of August...' to 'from....'  The explanation of figure 1 is not clear, how was the 80% gotten? Its not shown on the pie chart, please describe what is presented on the figure.  Discussion: Syndrome-based (highlighted in yellow)  Reference: Check Ref. 11 highlighted in yellow Check ref 3, 4, 6, 11, 12, 14: according to Vancouver referencing style, et al is used after the 6 <sup>th</sup> author is written	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good study, hope the study will be completed so that more robust conclusions will be made	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, no ethical approval was indicated to carry out the study. No informed consent was seen to be obtained to carry out the study	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chioma Phyllis Nnamani
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria