Review Form 1.6

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**In introduction a line about the rationale of the study may be added.** **Study enrolled 350 participants but results are presented of 347 only, explain the lost participants.** **How was the sample size calculated?** **Among reasons of non-compliance only 280 response, explain missing responses.** **Sociodemographic data table misses ethnicity and data about husband.** **Check the references and give them in Vancouver format.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Language corrections are suggested Repetition of tables in discussion can be avoided	
Optional/General comments	Comparison of knowledge with ethnicity may be shown	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Utkarsh Bansal**
Department, University & Country	**Hind Institute of Medical Sciences, India**