

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_85737
Title of the Manuscript:	LIFELONG TETANUS TOXOID VACCINATION AS PART OF THE NATIONAL IMMUNIZATION SCHEME; A STUDY OF PREGNANT WOMEN ATTENDING INSTITUTE OF MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH IN LAGOS NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The title does not suit nor really reflect the findings as it mentioned- Suggested Title as “Awareness, adherence and determinant factors among pregnant mothers on lifelong tetanus toxoid vaccination as part of the national immunization scheme” <p>Methodology</p> <p>Adequate detailed information are lacking in the methodology section such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Need to mention why or how this study site (hospital) was selected. Is it purposive selection or what selection criteria was applied to choose this hospital?- The inclusion (and exclusion) criteria for the study respondents (e.g., starting from which month of gestation or all pregnant mothers attending to that hospital or how?) should be mentioned.- Need to mention in detail which information and variables will be collected <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The objective of the study is mentioned as “to determine the awareness, knowledge, and factors affecting the completion of the lifelong tetanus toxoid immunization”. However, the results did not completely reflect the objective.- The readers may expect to see are there any findings on the relations between immunization status with:<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Education level of motherso Family members' support to seek health careo Existing health service provision- Should mention more in detail how is the direction of association between the women's age, parity, occupation with tetanus toxoid immunization, (not just mention significant association)- For better image of the journal, the tables should be presented to meet the scientific standard. <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Current flow of discussion is out of direction and need to be in a systematic way- The discussion should be made on the key findings from the study while comparing with other settings of Nigeria as well as in other African countries	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Abbreviations should be mentioned in full for the first time- The presentation of numbers and % should be revised to conform to scientific publications (e.g. Numbers cannot be mentioned at the beginning of a sentence)- Proper punctuation marks should be applied not to let the readers confused.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Suggested to consult with a native speaker for grammatical revision.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lwin Mar Hlaing
Department, University & Country	Ministry of Health, National Unity Government, Myanmar