Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_85578
Title of the Manuscript:	Delayed Psychological impacts of Covid 19 and Confinement Strategy : Distillates of a prospective Study from North Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 1 It is difficult to know the objective of this study from the title, not clear whether it intends to explain the delayed impact of Covid-19 disease itself or the confinement strategy? Or both? 2)it is not known which qualitative method the authors used? 3) the findings and recommendations should appear on the abstract 4) The type of questionnaire shall be described. is it fully standardized or adapted by the authors? How many "yes" or how many "no" replies are to be regarded as having a mental impact? 5) where is the result coming from mobile interaction? We are only seeing the questionnaire results. 	
Minor REVISION comments	1) the figure needs description and it shall be placed in the results section 2) in the body of this paper, the word "mental impacts" is repeatedly used instead of "psychological impacts" which s available at the very title. It is better to look words seriously. 3) What statistical analysis is made? Marely frequency? 4) follow a similar style for all references, do not mix 5) do not use informal abbreviations	
Optional/General comments	The paper has a serious wording problem. Better to use correct and simple words as well keep the paragraphs that I arranged.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Authors need to be clear on whether oral or written consent did they obtain from participants	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdelah Alifnur Mohammed
Department, University & Country	Debre Tabor University, Ethiopia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)