

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_85549
Title of the Manuscript:	MELANOSIS COLI A RARE ENTITY IN ELDERLY PATIENTS PRESENTING WITH CONSTIPATION
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This is not a novel case report as this is a well-known side effect of anthroquinones. Is there anything unique about this case that might make it special? For example, the age or medical history of the patient.2. What definition of constipation was used? Some details of the patient's chronic constipation in terms of the Rome criteria should be provided.3. Details of the laxatives used should be provided. Specify which laxatives and what dosage was used for what periods of time.4. A reader would be interested also to know the patient outcome. Was the patient's medication changed? Was the constipation resolved? Was there any follow-up regarding the melanositis coli?5. The standard of English is poor in terms of spelling and grammar. I suggest that some assistance is obtained to improve this either from an English-speaking colleague or an editing service such as Editage.6. There is no reference to the figure in the text.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It may also be of interest to a reader to know what other medications were used by the patient. Details of the homeopathic and antidiabetic medications could also be provided.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barry Werth
Department, University & Country	University of Sydney, Australia