

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_85120
Title of the Manuscript:	The role of interleukin-6 in anemia of patients with chronic kidney disease, in pre-dialysis stage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript (JAMMR_85120) was poor strategy . Furthermore, discussion section was very infantile. The statement of author was not described, only previous research was reported. Before submitting to journal, authors should be gotten comments by some senior scientific researchers.</p> <p>I propose that the relationship of key molecule, hepcidin, was should be considered in this investigation.</p> <p>The significant reasons that CKD patients were divided to two groups (mild and serious anemia group) was not able to understand.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tohru Obata
Department, University & Country	Aichi Gakuin University, Japan