

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_84998</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The influence of Modern lifestyle on the health of Medical students and staff in a Medical school</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p><b>This an original study on health professional's lifestyles and their health.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Present complete study location in the title (State and country – Dominica?).</li><li>2. Follow journal-specific guidelines for manuscript setting.</li><li>3. Write in full abbreviations when used the first time.</li><li>4. Correct unclear/hanging sentences as indicated in the reviewed document.</li><li>5. Unless otherwise, write the manuscript in past tense.</li><li>6. Remove results in methods section.</li><li>7. Data collection section: has mixed presentations of collection and analysis; making it difficult to comprehend.</li><li>8. Keep the number of respondents constant: 76.</li><li>9. Why are the staff and students regarded the same in this study? Are they the same and, therefore, have same factors for their observe behaviors? I think they need to be analysed differently!!! Check.</li><li>10. Follow journal-specific guidelines for presenting results.</li><li>11. Start sentences with a word not numbers.</li><li>12. Why only age is presented in Figure 1 and not the other characteristic mentioned in the narrative. BTW, why only two characteristics: sex and age considered important in this study versus others like income which could influence say, alcohol taking.</li><li>13. In the narrative, it is stated respondents get 1-4 hours of sleep a category that is not in Figure 1 and the majority get 5-6 (48.7%) and the least, 1.3% get 9+ hours of sleep. Correct this confusion.</li><li>14. Use one unit of alcohol and water consumed: little or bottle (Table 2).</li><li>15. Do the staff and students consume same type of alcohol (Table 2)?</li><li>16. Is use of taxi on a daily basis a sedentary behavior?</li></ol> <p><b>I suggest the author(s) do the re-analysis separating the two populations that are quite different to be lamped in one basket. This will push for rewriting the manuscript according to journal-specific guidelines and then submit for re-review.</b></p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Manuscript editing highly recommended.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Switbert r. Kamazima
Department, University & Country	Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences (MUHAS), Tanzania