

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_84943
Title of the Manuscript:	Roles of NK cells in sepsis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	There are deviations in the description of the purpose of the abstract, and the role of NK cells in sepsis should be discussed. The definition of sepsis in the introduction needs to be clear; microbial infection-related diseases must be related to NK cell activity, and the preface should state what is the current state of the research? What is the purpose of this study to reveal? The relationship between the revealed results and the status quo should be reflected in the discussion; the number of samples should be marked under Figure 3; there should be clear results in the results and discussions, and this result should be discussed with sepsis from both positive and negative aspects; should be discussed in the discussion Partly supplements the correlation analysis of CD3G, IGHM, PAX8-AS1, PACSIN1 and other genes with autoimmunity.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Minimizes the repetitive expression of content; standardizes the English expression, typesetting format and punctuation of the article.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miao Mingsan
Department, University & Country	Henan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China