

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_84530
Title of the Manuscript:	Anxiety and Coping with Anxiety in Patients with COVID-19: A review of the existing literature
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)															
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Patients with confirmed COVID-19 infection can develop several psychological consequences, therefore I agree this issue is crucial.</p> <p>Based on the University of Wisconsin's review article guild lines , I evaluate this manuscript.</p> <table><tr><th></th><th>Items</th><th></th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Introduction and background</td><td>The authors have explained the issue and provided the necessary background information.</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>Body of the paper</td><td>The process of searching the relevance articles have been explained in methodology section. However, it would be good for the author to elaborate further the methods that used to filter and analysis the articles. The author could use figures or table to present the synthesis of the finding. The conclusion of two major issues such as sleep quality and progressive muscle relaxation to cope with anxiety is based on two major articles. It is lack of reliability and it is difficult to be generalized as the authors only mentioned the experimental evidence from Wuhan, China.</td></tr><tr><td>3.</td><td>Conclusion</td><td>The authors have concluded the major finding brief and precise.</td></tr><tr><td>4.</td><td>Further research</td><td>The authors have provided suggestions for further research.</td></tr></table>		Items		1	Introduction and background	The authors have explained the issue and provided the necessary background information.	2.	Body of the paper	The process of searching the relevance articles have been explained in methodology section. However, it would be good for the author to elaborate further the methods that used to filter and analysis the articles. The author could use figures or table to present the synthesis of the finding. The conclusion of two major issues such as sleep quality and progressive muscle relaxation to cope with anxiety is based on two major articles. It is lack of reliability and it is difficult to be generalized as the authors only mentioned the experimental evidence from Wuhan, China.	3.	Conclusion	The authors have concluded the major finding brief and precise.	4.	Further research	The authors have provided suggestions for further research.	
	Items																
1	Introduction and background	The authors have explained the issue and provided the necessary background information.															
2.	Body of the paper	The process of searching the relevance articles have been explained in methodology section. However, it would be good for the author to elaborate further the methods that used to filter and analysis the articles. The author could use figures or table to present the synthesis of the finding. The conclusion of two major issues such as sleep quality and progressive muscle relaxation to cope with anxiety is based on two major articles. It is lack of reliability and it is difficult to be generalized as the authors only mentioned the experimental evidence from Wuhan, China.															
3.	Conclusion	The authors have concluded the major finding brief and precise.															
4.	Further research	The authors have provided suggestions for further research.															
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	A little bit of grammatical issue.																
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Good effort.																

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lim Seng Poh
Department, University & Country	Penang Matriculation College, Malaysia