

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_83834
Title of the Manuscript:	Validation of Electrical Cardiometry Measurements Compared to Transthoracic Echocardiography in Fluid Responsiveness in Sepsis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ This manuscript is high quality for publication after minor revision.</li><li>➤ The title is relevant as to me.</li><li>➤ The title and abstract cover the main aspect of the work and informative.</li><li>➤ The introduction section provides background information relevant to the study.</li><li>➤ The results are novel and data generated seems plausible.</li><li>➤ The methods are clear and results match with the methods</li><li>➤ I recommend typo-grammatical errors which needs suitable amendment.</li><li>➤ The conclusion was done in parallel to findings entertained.</li></ul> Reference: references have the correct style. Better to incorporate the ‘DOI’ of the article cited in the reference list.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>♥ I would like to recommend to use automated reference managing soft wares such as Mendeley, Endnote...</li><li>♥ Better to insert captions for tables in the manuscript and should be cross referenced in the text.</li></ul>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Woretaw Sisay Zewdu
Department, University & Country	Debre Tabor University, Ethiopia