

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_83834
Title of the Manuscript:	Validation of Electrical Cardiometry Measurements Compared to Transthoracic Echocardiography in Fluid Responsiveness in Sepsis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors are advised to effect a complete revision of the whole manuscript following the suggestions indicated in comment balloons of the reviewed manuscript. This is a major revision. However, it is being stated here that this revision has more to do with the English language structure of the manuscript than its scientific robustness or technical soundness.</p> <p>The authors are also advised to consult the manuscript preparation guidelines of this Journal.</p> <p>It is the considered view of this Reviewer that the sections of the manuscripts as presented leaves much to be desired in terms of coherence and clarity particularly insofar as generated results are concerned.</p> <p>Of particular concern are the sample size (including population demographics) determination/choice and the discussion of the results in relation to a ‘gold standard’ comparator.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oti Kwasi Gyamfi
Department, University & Country	School of Public Service and Governance, Ghana Institute of Management and Public Administration, Ghana