

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_83630
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of neoadjuvant systemic therapy (NST) on breast cancer patients, pathologic complete response (PCR) and its predictive factors.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>General review of grammar and style of presentation Review the title-for clarity for example exclude “on the patient” and rework to know what “ an its risk factors” refers to</p> <p>Abstract The aim in the abstract contrasts with the aim in the introduction, more over the article did not compare their data with the international data The result in abstract -needs to be completely re-written for clarity Abstract conclusion- did not draw from the available information in the abstract, went far beyond the available information</p> <p>Introduction Needs to rework the first paragraph and the beginning of the second paragraph Better to use down-size instead of downstage Find other means of closing statements instead of ETC The last paragraph in introduction appears incoherent</p> <p>Method How was complete information obtained for patients not treated in the hospital yet were included?</p> <p>Result State exact p value instead of using < 0.05 Avoid starting sentences with figures/numbers</p> <p>Discussion Review for choice of terms eg Use downsize instead of downstage and use advanced clinical stage instead of high clinical stage etc. Pay attention to the grammar and style Financial issues was mentioned as reason for incomplete chemotherapy but was not reported in the result as the reason why the chemotherapy was incomplete The treatment(surgery) after chemotherapy was not reported in result yet the discussion stated axillary dissection was de-escalated</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agodirin Olayide
Department, University & Country	University of Ilorin, Nigeria