

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_83280
Title of the Manuscript:	The Gender Associations of Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio in Acute Kidney Injury and Chronic Kidney Disease.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript needs major editing in terms of language and punctuation. Introduction The aim is not clearly stated. It is not clear what the goal of the study is. M&M The study design is not presented in an understandable way. The mean age of the participants should be given. How many males and female were included in the study? The inclusion criteria should be given. The section M&M should be divided into sub sections e.g. patients, methods, .. The analytical methods used for measuring serum electrolytes, urea, creatinine and uric acid should be stated. It is not understandable why the "definitions" are given in this section. They should support the study design and the results. Results The patients were divided into 3 subgroups according to their age. What is the reason for that grouping? In table 3 is not clear what P value is given between AKI and CKD or between Aki/CKD and the total group.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some abbreviations such as AKI and CKD should be given in full text.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bistra Galunska
Department, University & Country	Medical University – Varna, Bulgaria