

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_81421
Title of the Manuscript:	First trimester placental volume and uterine artery Doppler in pregestational diabetic and non-diabetic pregnant women
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Dear author's</p> <p>I was pleased to review your manuscript “First trimester placental volume and uterine artery Doppler in pregestational diabetic and non-diabetic pregnant women “. The topic is interesting.</p> <p>However, the manuscript should be further improved. In order to improve the manuscript a have the following comments.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Introduction section should introduce the reader with general concept of the manuscript. I think that this section is too long.2. Methodology- please specify the type of your study.3. It will be interesting to draw a flowchart with the patients.4. Knowing this results please explain how you can improve patient care?5. Discussion: in this section you needs to compare your case with the international literature. Please do not repeat the same information in the results and discussion section.6. Other points: <p>- Punctuations reviews are necessary;</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nicolae Gica
Department, University & Country	Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania