

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_80359
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic assessment of the Tubo Ovarian Relationship in Unexplained Infertility
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>*There are some grammatical mistakes in the manuscript that should be revised.</p> <p>*The authors should provide a statement to confirm that the authors have obtained all necessary ethical approval from a suitable Institutional or State or National or International Committee.</p> <p>*The result section just contains tables so you can rewrite this section better. It is not necessary to insert all of the tables; you can write about results and insert the important tables.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>*The abstract should be divided into the following sections: - Aims, Study design, Place and Duration of Study, Methodology, Results, Conclusion</p> <p>*4-8 keywords should be written.</p> <p>*Authors' Contributions should be written. (Authors may use the following wording for this section: “Author A’ designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. ‘Author B’ and ‘Author C’ managed the analyses of the study. ‘Author C’ managed the literature searches…… All authors read and approved the final manuscript.”)</p> <p>*In the text, citations of references should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yekta Parsa
Department, University & Country	Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran