

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_78308
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTORS OF ANEMIA AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN ATTENDING ANTENATAL CARE AT PUBLIC HOSPITALS OF SIDAMA REGION, ETHIOPIA, 2021 A CASE CONTROL STUDY PROTOCOL
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I am happy to review the above-mentioned protocol. I have gone through it and offer the following comments on its quality and recommendations to publish.</p> <p>Anemia in pregnancy is a public health problem, leading to different life-threatening complications and poor pregnancy outcomes. More than 50% of the pregnant women from low and developing countries develop anemia. Therefore, its important to find out the predictors for anemia in pregnant women.</p> <p>In this study protocol they have conducted a pilot study from June 25<sup>th</sup> to July 25<sup>th</sup> 2021. Based on that unmatched case-control data, they are going to work on their upcoming scientific projects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Please rewrite the sentence 'A facility based unmatched case-control will be conducted from June 25 to July 25 in public hospitals of Sidama region' in the abstract methodology as it sounds bit different. Even in the budget and work plan in the abstract they said 'The study will be conducted from June 25 to July 25, 2021' but they were already conducted a pilot study during that period, but written as will be conducted. Please correct it.</li><li>• Please check for grammar, and the uniformity in this protocol.</li><li>• This protocol contains excellent Introduction with a clear objective, statement of the problem, and significance of the study.</li><li>• Review of literature is adequate</li><li>• Investigators adopted a suitable methodology of ABCD technique to carry-out the study.</li><li>• They have given a clear statistical, budget details along with the supplementary details of questionnaire, consent form etc.</li><li>• References portion is up to date.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<div>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</div> <div>They will obtain the ethical clearance prior to the data collection</div>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kereena Chukka
Department, University & Country	CARE Hospitals Hyderabad, India