

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_78304
Title of the Manuscript:	A Five-Year Review of Caesarean Section at the Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, South-South, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please indicate the details of the ethical clearance. Table 2: it is better showing the data in mean and SD instead of categorising the data based on the age of the patients. As the author included SD , it means that the data was normally distributed. In that case, range should not be included in the result.	
Minor REVISION comments	Table 2: number and percentage can be included in the same column e.g., n (%) The author doesn't have to mention the number in text just in number, e.g., 5598 instead of five hundred and ninety-eight Figure 2: 3D bar chart instead of 2D	
Optional/General comments	This is a very important study since the number of caesarean (CS) delivery rate keeps increasing. However, the factors related to the high number of CS rate increase did not elaborate clearly. If it is possible, I would suggest including potential factors related to improve the manuscript.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mila Maidarti
Department, University & Country	University Of Indonesia, Indonesia