

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_78018
Title of the Manuscript:	MYSTERY OF MESENTERIC LYMPH ADENITIS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-The abstract is very weak and only the field of study is written and it looks like a general definition. The type of study is not clear.-It seems that the author intended to write a review article that used very little resources.-The introduction is very long and without segmentation.-It is better to present most of the available information in the form of tables or graphs.-In the conclusion section, no information from the article is included and a general and scientific definition is written like an abstract. In conclusion, a summary of what is mentioned in the article should be stated.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leili Mohammadi
Department, University & Country	Zahedan University Of Medical Sciences, Iran