

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_84338
Title of the Manuscript:	Tempered distribution version of the Tumarkin result
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<div>1. Concentrate on typing mistakes.</div> <div>2. Equation typing condition is a very poor quality.</div> <div>3. Reference 6 is in some other language.</div> <div>4. Introduction part is missing.</div> <div>5. There is no conclusion.</div> <div>6. The work gives the feeling that it is like a PG assignment.</div> <div>7. Develop the concept into a proper way and submit it to a peer review.</div>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Valliathal
Department, University & Country	Chikkaiah Naicker College, India