

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_88048
Title of the Manuscript:	Faecal Carriage Of Escherichia coli 0157:H7 Serotype By Free-Ranged And Confined Small Domestic Ruminants Within Cross River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1- The manuscript must write according to the journal template. 2- The manuscript contain some originality . 3- It was noticed that there is a similarity rate of 29% after deleting references, and the percentage that is less than 1%. I do not know whether this percentage is acceptable for the journal or not. 4- 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS should write like this 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS . 5- In the paragraph "2.3 Sampling procedures" the word six (or) the number 6 must be deleting. 6- In the paragraph "2.4 Sample collections" the word ( Amies ) must write Amie's. 7- The paragraph "2.5 Selective enrichment" need to a reference. 8- The scientific name must write in abbreviation form in the text. 9- 3.0 RESULTS should write like this 3.RESULTS, and (shown in fig 1.0) should write like this (shown in fig 1). 10- 4.0 DISCUSSION should write like this 4. DISCUSSION . 11- REFERENCES: the reference 20 should be written like other references in terms of publication details.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nehia Neama Hussein
Department, University & Country	University of Technology, Iraq