

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_86034
Title of the Manuscript:	Rotavirus in Oysters, Lettuce, and feces in Children with diarrhea from Panama
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract Line 13, consider removing the gaps in G12P [8], G12P [6], G1P [8], and G2P [6]. It should be done throughout the manuscript. In line 16 after G2P[4], Consider including “were identified”. Consider including conclusion highlighting the significance of your findings</p> <p>Intro, methods and discussion Line 27, the RVA should be spelled out at first mentioning. Line 43 after ref 10, include that “Though rotaviruses detected in the aquatic milieu are usually insignificantly low, concentrating them in the edible tissue through filter-feeding potentiates the degree of infectiousness” (Ref. Omatola CA & Olaniran AO. Epidemiological significance of the occurrence and persistence of rotaviruses in water and sewage: a critical review and proposal for routine microbiological monitoring. <i>Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts</i>, 2022, 24, 380-399). Line 62, remove F from Bucardo et al. Line 78, the reference [fifteen] should be replaced with [15] Line 83, “Studies show” should be rephrased to reading “Studies have shown”... Line 95, the sentence “A study carried out in Africa identifies this G12 P [6] genotype with high homology to strains of porcine origin”, researchers state that this may be due to genetic rearrangement events” should be made clearer as there is a disjoint before and after the comma.</p> <p>Additional comments Authors investigated rotavirus infection in diarrheic children but throughout the study, no mention of ethical approval was indicated. This must be included with the authorization number</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	How is it possible to relate occurrence of Rotavirus infection in the diarrheic children to consumption Oysters or Lettuce since questionnaire was not administered to the parents of the children or their care-givers?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omatola Cornelius Arome
Department, University & Country	Kogi State University, Nigeria And University of Kwazulu, South Africa