

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_85917
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial Load, Prevalence and Antibiotic Resistance of Microflora Isolated from the Ghanaian Paper Currency Note: A Potential Health Threat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <p>Methodology I prefer that you the name of microorganism group than media culture Example: mesophilic aerobic germs was enumerated by using PCA media.</p> <p>Introduction Authors said "Research conducted by reference [8] confirms that the Ghanaian currency notes are 100% contaminated", in this case where is the originality of your work. What your study gives as new informations?</p> <p>2. Material and methods</p> <p>2.1 Study Area Please give the coordinates (latitude and longitude) of area study.</p> <p>2.3 Sample Size and Sampling 2.3.1 Sample Collection Procedures Why the authors have not exanimated Ghanaian currency notes GH¢ 100 and GH¢ 200, I am not agree because these Ghanaian currency notes could be contaminated too. How match times the experiences have been repeated ?</p> <p>2.4.4 Identification of Isolates the authors must to add the word "presumptive" because this method used is not reliable and authors are not used molecular identification method. antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) What the criterion of resistance or sensitive? What the reference?</p> <p>3. Results and discussion The tables and figures must be improved, also the authors must to do the choice between table and figure. Table 1 and Figure expressed the same result. All figures must be improved the axis are not named</p> <p>The authors must give the picture Petri dish of antibiotic test as illustration</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The study is interesting but there a lot of inadequacies, I recommend a serious revision of paper.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Coulibaly Wahauwouele Hermann
Department, University & Country	University Nangui Abrogoua, Ivory Coast