

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_85917
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial Load, Prevalence and Antibiotic Resistance of Microflora Isolated from the Ghanaian Paper Currency Note: A Potential Health Threat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The aim of the work should be written clearly in the introduction.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  - Results: This implies that the smaller denominations (GH¢ 1 and GH¢ 2) are more contaminated because they are often handled. Meanwhile from the study, GH¢ 10 although a bigger denomination was noticed to be more contaminated than GH¢ 5. This could be that GH¢10 is in circulation and handled more than the GH¢5 or probably because there are two different kinds of the GH¢5 paper note accepted and used in Ghana at the same time. -The scientific name of antibiotic and not the commercial names should be written as Amoxil-----amoxicillin Gentamycin----gentamicin  -Some language mistakes	This explanation should be transmitted to discussion.  The scientific name of antibiotic and not the commercial names should be written.  I corrected it in the attached file, yellow highlighted.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Generally the manuscript is properly structured and understood.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayman A Allam
Department, University & Country	Zagazig University, Egypt