Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_84694
Title of the Manuscript:	Qualitative Physicochemical and Bacteriological Assessment of Water Systems in the University of Eastern Philippines Main Campus
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should
Compulsory REVISION comments		write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 A good effort by the author(s). The research paper contains, both substance and material pertaining to soil reclamation. Following comments are made; I have slightly edited the study theme, which should be considered place edited study theme as written on the attached manuscript). The construction of sentences in abstract are bit vague, I have tried to correct them. Please look at it and improve it (see attached marked manuscript) Author(s) have not followed the standard research paper format which is as important as the research contents. I have marked the same on the attached manuscript in track change format. Literature be written / added under paragraph-2, please attached manuscript Study objectives have been missed out and the readers will get confuse without knowing the objectives for writing this paper. The same are suggested to be written under paragraph - 3, as mentioned on the attached marked manuscript Methodology needs little elaboration (please the same as marked on the attached manuscript). Please add "give an over view of water treatment technologies being employed at refilling station. Is there any hypo chlorinators installed at pumping station to guard against bacteria presence in groundwater, being pumped - at subparagraph - 5.4 under results and discussion paragraph Paper was not formatted, which has not been done - please attached manuscript. Recommendation paragraph was missing, Please add precise set of recommendation, like: Establishment of water expert organization/ management which should manage and ensure safe drinking water to students and others. Installation of mini water treatment plant / stand alone filtration plant. 	
	3) . Installations of hypo chlorinators at pumping stations to remove microbial contamination .	
	4). Testing of heavy metals and trace elements in drinking water, as the same has not been catered in chemical analysis.	
	5). Periodic and regular water quality monitoring SOPs.	
	6). Further research to be undertaken on this subject to ascertain the causes of contamination	
	2 . All deletions & additions have been highlighted with red and blue color s respectively (pl see attached marked manuscript)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	Methanoyl has been rearranged , please see the attached marked manuscript
Optional/General comments	These are OK , Preferably , reference sitting should be on the line of APA format. DOI persistent links to those references that have DOIs should be added

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Islam ul Haque
Department, University & Country	Pakistan

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)