

## Original Research Article

### Screening, Characterization and Identification of sophorolipid-producing yeast isolated from Palm oil effluent polluted soil

#### Abstract

Palm oil mill activities in Nigeria have gained socio-economic value in recent times. The waste management challenges for handling effluent from these activities have remained unresolved. Palm oil mill effluent impacted soil were obtained (Elibrada and Rumuche) in Rivers State and analyzed for ~~Physicochemical~~ physicochemical, ~~Geotechnical~~ geotechnical and ~~Microbiological~~ microbiological qualities. The yeast isolates were screened for hydrocarbon degradation and sophorolipid production; and were identified using biochemical and 16S ITS molecular approaches. The pH of the ~~POME~~-impacted soil ranged between pH 6.18 to 6.34 the electrical conductivity value for Elibrada and Rumuche were 20.84  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$  and 80.19  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$  respectively while that of the unpolluted soil was 220.13  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ . Permeability of the soil from Elibrada was 2.7 cm/s, Rumuche had 1.3 cm/s while the unpolluted soil had 5.6 cm/s. Total organic carbon for soil samples from Rumuche was 4.92%, Elibrada had 6.13% while the unpolluted soil had 8.74%. Oil and grease component for the Rumuche soil was 10500 mg/~~kg~~kg, Elibrada had 7200 mg/~~kg~~kg and the unpolluted soil had 28.0 mg/~~kg~~kg. Total fungal count for Rumuche soil samples was  $3.8 \times 10^4$  CFU/g while Elibrada had  $3.2 \times 10^4$  CFU/g. Eighty percent (80%) of the isolates were *Candida* spp. while 20% were *Saccharomyces* sp. There were four bands separated which were denoted as ITS 600bp and a Ladder L which was 500bp. The phylogenetic construct showed that the Y8 was 70.2% similar to *Candida parapsilosis*. The isolate Y3 was 95.8% similar to *Candida haemulonis*. Isolate Y9 had 100% similarity with *Pichia kudriavzevii* ~~kudriavzevii~~ while Y13 had a 97.4% identity with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* the GENBANK accession numbers were *Candida haemulonis* MW182014, *Candida parapsilosis* MW182015, *Pichia kudriavzevii* ~~kudriavzevii~~ MW182016 and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* MW182017. There is an increasing concern to develop a process for microbial isolation and characterization for effective biotechnological advancement using impacted environmental media as a bioresource.

Comment [A1]: Common names so small letters

Comment [A2]: Explain this abbreviation the first time you use it

Comment [A3]: Specie name always small letter

Key words: Palm oil, polluted soil, Screening, sophorolipid, yeast isolated.

#### Introduction and Background

Sophorolipids are surfactant-like biopolymers. Surfactants are amphiphilic compounds that lower the system's free energy by displacing higher-energy bulk molecules at an interface (Santos *et al.*, 2016; Rufino *et al.*, 2014). It is composed of a hydrophobic moiety that has a low affinity for the bulk aqueous medium and a hydrophilic portion that is attracted to it. Industrially, surfactants have been used as flocculating, wetting, and foaming agents, adhesives and de-emulsifiers, lubricants, and penetrants (Nishanthi *et al.*, 2010). The ability of surfactants to reduce surface

Comment [A4]: Not in literature list

tension is a critical property. Surfactants tend to accumulate at interfaces (air-water and oil-water) and on surfaces due to their amphiphilic nature. As a result, surfactants reduce the repulsion forces between dissimilar phases at interfaces or surfaces, allowing the two phases to mix more easily (Kale and Deore, 2017). Biosurfactants are a structurally diverse class of molecules with surface-active properties that are synthesized by living organisms. Their ability to reduce surface and interfacial tension while exhibiting low toxicity, high specificity, and biodegradability has sparked increased interest in these microbial products as potential replacements for chemical surfactants (Vijayakumar and Saravanan, 2015; Okoliegbe and Agarry 2012). Biosurfactants are amphiphilic compounds produced by a wide variety of microorganisms that either adhere to the cellular membrane or are excreted into the culture medium extracellularly (Liu *et al.*, 2015). They can reduce the surface and interfacial tensions in oil-water systems and are used in a variety of industrial applications as moistening agents, dispersants, emulsifiers, foaming agents, and detergents (Elazzazy *et al.*, 2015). These compounds outperform conventional synthetic surfactants in terms of low toxicity, improved environmental compatibility, increased intersurface activity, increased foaming capability, increased selectivity, and improved biodegradability (Kavitha *et al.*, 2014; Souza *et al.*, 2014).

**Comment [A5]:** Not in literature list

**Comment [A6]:** Not in literature list. Though you do have a Saravanan and Vijayakumar 2012

**Comment [A7]:** Not in literature list

**Comment [A8]:** Souza 2014 only author. Check literature list

Microbial surfactants, also known as biosurfactants, are a class of surface-active molecules derived from a diverse array of microorganisms. These surface-active compounds produced by bacteria have the ability to decrease the surface and interfacial tension between two immiscible fluid phases. It is only in the last few decades that surface-active microbial molecules, dubbed biosurfactants, have garnered widespread interest (Praveesh *et al.*, 2011). Secondary metabolites, biosurfactants are produced during the stationary phase of microbial growth (Yan *et al.*, 2012; Banat *et al.*, 2010). Glycolipids, lipoproteins or lipopeptides, phospholipids, fatty acids or natural lipids, polymeric surfactants, and particulate surfactants are the broad classifications (Moya *et al.*, 2015; Hoskova *et al.*, 2013). Biosurfactant is a term that refers to microbial compounds that exhibit significant surface activity (Maneerat, 2005). Biosurfactants are unique amphipathic molecules that have been investigated for a variety of industrial and bioremediation applications (Bodour *et al.*, 2003), pharmaceutical and food processing, and oil recovery. Global surfactant production exceeded 2.5 million tons in 2002, reached approximately 1,735.5 million USD in 2011, and was expected to reach 2,210.5 million USD in 2018, implying an average annual growth rate of 3.5 percent from 2011 to 2018. (Sekhon *et al.*, 2014).

**Comment [A9]:** Not in literature list

**Comment [A10]:** Shouldn't the order of the authors be alphabetically and then chronologically? Check with journal.

**Comment [A11]:** This sentence reads sluggishly. Maybe: Biosurfactants are broadly classified into glycolipids, lipoproteins or lipopeptides, phospholipids, fatty acids or natural lipids, polymeric surfactants and particulate surfactants.

**Comment [A12]:** Not in literature list

**Comment [A13]:** Check spelling in literature list

**Comment [A14]:** Think this sentence should move to where you first mention biosurfactants.

The production of biosurfactant can be carried out in batch or continuous mode. Batch fermentation involves the addition of media and inoculum simultaneously to the bioreactor, and the product is collected at the conclusion of the fermentation (Zouari *et al.*, 2014). Conditions in the bioreactor change throughout the process (i.e., nutrients and products and waste were reduced). According to Rodrigues *et al.* (2017), using soybean waste as a substrate enabled the production of biosurfactants and biomass at concentrations of 11.70 g/L and 11.5 g/L, respectively. Pansiripat *et al.* (2010) used a carbon source with a 40:1 oil-glucose ratio. They reported a 58.5 percent reduction in surface tension due to the biosurfactant. Additionally, batch-type bioreactors have advantages. For instance, they can be used when a material is only available during specified times and is suitable for high solids content (25 percent%). While the continuous process is ongoing, substrate streaming and product collection can begin at any time after the maximum product concentration or substrate limits have been reached. In this case, substrates and inoculants can be continuously added, extending the exponential phase (Rusmana, 2008). Due to the difficulties associated with controlling substrate availability, studies on biosurfactant production using this type of fermentation have not been widely reported.

Comment [A15]: 2006 in literature list

Comment [A16]: Not in literature list

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Sample collection

#### Soil samples:

Three (3) soil samples were obtained from major palm oil mills in Rumuche and Elibrada Emohua within Rivers State. The soil samples were collected using a simple hand-held auger which will be obtained from the department of Geography and Environmental management. Sterile seal bags will be purchased from the Everyday Supermarket, Choba-, Rivers State. The sample bags were marked on the basis of site of sample collection. The samples were transported in an ice chest to the Laboratory, Alakahia for physicochemical analysis. Samples points were georeferenced using Geographic positioning system (GPS) receives.

Comment [A17]: No repetitions?

Comment [A18]: Add GPS coordinates

Comment [A19]: You have already done this work – it has to be in past tense

#### Geotechnical and physicochemical evaluation of POME-impacted soil

Imhoff-cone approach coupled with agitation of the cones for 20 to 30 minutes was employed for particle size determination. The weight of the particles was documented and presented in % w/w. The method of ASTM D422 was used to determine the soil texture of the soil samples using different sieve meshes. Specific Gravity of the soil samples were determined the using pycnometer method ASTM D854. Permeability of the soil using the samples was determined through the ASTM-D2434 method while Atterberg Limit

Comment [A20]: Agitation AND Imhoff plural

~~limits~~ was determined using ASTM-6913. The pH of the soil samples was determined using the electrochemical method (IITA, 1979). Electrical conductivity was determined using the method of APHA-~~2510B~~. The modified method of ~~Dewis~~ and Freitas (1970) was employed for soil alkalinity. The modified method of Agbaji (2020) was employed for phosphate determination of the soil samples using the APHA-4500PC approach while turbidometric approach was employed for sulphate determination. The exchangeable ammonia or ammoniacal nitrogen was determined using the APHA-4500 ~~method~~. The modified methods of Effiong *et al.* (2020); Orhohoro *et al.* (2017) and Agbaji (2021) was employed in the analysis of parameters such as heavy metals, hydrogen sulphides and salinity.

**Comment [A21]:** I think you should add that this is a soil moisture test. I for one didn't know

**Comment [A22]:** No reference

**Comment [A23]:** Reference?

**Comment [A24]:** not in reference list

**Comment [A25]:** no reference?

**Comment [A26]:** 2018 in reference list

**Comment [A27]:** Only 2020 in reference list

### Microbiological ~~analysis-analyses~~ of soil samples

**Comment [A28]:** Since there were more than one analysis

The culture medium for the total heterotrophic bacterial count was ~~determined-made up~~ by suspending twenty-eight grams nutrient agar in de-ionized water. The suspension was heated over a Bunsen burner to dissolve the media completely and placed in an autoclave 121°C for 15 minutes at 15 psi. After cooling to room temperature, 0.065g/ml of tetracycline was ~~seeded added~~ to the media prior to dispensing into petri dishes and allowed to solidify. One milliliter of enriched samples was drawn from the setup and diluted using pre-sterilized normal saline and cultured using spread plate technique. Colony count within 30-300 CFU/ml were employed in the determination of the bacterial load. Similarly, the total fungal count was determined by suspending 0.1 ml of the enriched samples and diluted in a 10-fold serial dilution, it was then plated on ~~Potato-potato~~ dextrose agar fortified with 0.1% lactic acid to inhibit bacterial contaminants. The inoculated plates ~~would-bewere~~ incubated at 25°C for 3-7 days (Abu & Ogiji, 1996)

**Comment [A29]:** Why the antibiotic? Wouldn't it kill many bacteria?

### Isolation of Yeast species from palm oil impacted soil

Aliquots of the enrichment setup were diluted using 9.9 ml sterile normal saline. Glucose yeast peptone media were prepared and 1.0% lactic acid was introduced into the media to inhibit fungal contaminants, autoclaved at 121°C and 15 ~~p.s-psi~~ for 15 minutes. Vacuum filtered palm oil was used in the palm oil utilization test and the ~~vapourvapor~~-phase culturing technique was adopted by soaking the sterile Whatman filter paper no1 with crude oil and ~~Palm-palm~~ oil and aseptically placed on the cover of the petri dishes. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. Yeast flora were determined by inhibiting bacterial contaminants using 1.0µg/ml ~~chloramphenicol~~ (Orhohoro *et al.*, 2018; Ekwuabu *et al.*, 2016).

**Comment [A30]:** In the previous paragraph you said lactic acid inhibited bacterial growth. Which is it? And surely yeasts are a kind of fungi?

**Comment [A31]:** You did not use the full stops the previous time. Stick to one format

**Comment [A32]:** I do not know if this journal wants American or British English. If American, vapor and utilization and analyze. If British, vapour, utilise and analyse.

**Comment [A33]:** Surely you had to add the chloramphenicol before incubation? This looks as if you added it after incubation.

### Biosurfactant Production Screening

#### Haemolytic activity

Pure cultures of the yeast isolates were streaked and incubated on ~~Blood-blood~~ agar plates at 25°C for 45 minutes. The glucose yeast peptone containing 10% fresh sheep blood to 80% nutrient agar plates were allowed to solidify. The streaked plates were incubated for 24-48 ~~hrs~~ at 37°C. The result was interpreted ~~for~~  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  hemolysis (Santhini and Parthasarathi, 2014).

**Comment [A34]:** Hrs is not an accepted abbreviation for hours. Stick with h or write it out

#### Oil spread activity

The yeast isolates with potential to degrade crude oil, were sub-cultured to attain pure cultures. The yeast isolates were cultured at 37°C for 24 hrs and dislodged with sterile distilled water. The concentration of yeast cells was determined using 0.1\_Macfarland before incubation. Two milliliters (2\_ml) of inoculum suspension were added to 100\_ml mineral salt medium in a 250\_ml Erlenmeyer flask, incubated in an orbital shaker (Stuart Orbital Shaker S150) at 150 r.p.m, 37°C for 7 days. The reaction was carried out in the ratio of 10:1:0.05 for water; ~~BLCO~~; ~~Biosurfactant biosurfactant~~ (Ali [et al.](#), 2013).

**Comment [A35]:** You sometimes use . in abbreviations, other times you don't. Stick to one format.

**Comment [A36]:** Did you explain this abbreviation?

### Drop collapse method

This test is a quality measure of sophorolipids, ~~it was done using~~ For this test, 5.0 µl of the 48 hrs culture ~~was used~~, before and after centrifugation at 12,000 xg for 5 min to separate biomass from the sophorolipid and transferred to the oil-coated 96 well micro ~~titretiter~~ plate. The drop size formed from the sophorolipids was observed after 1 min with the aid of a magnifying glass. The result was considered positive for biosurfactant production when the drop was flat and those cultures that gave rounded drops were scored as negative, indicative of the lack of biosurfactant production.

### Blue Agar Plate Assay (Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide CTAB Method)

The modified method of Ndibe *et al.* (2019) was adopted for this study, as mineral salt agar medium supplemented with ~~Cetyl-cetyl Trimethyl-trimethyl Ammonium-ammonium Bromide bromide~~ (CTAB: 0.8 mg/mL) and methylene blue (MB: 0.02%) was prepared to detect anionic biosurfactant. The crude sophorolipid was dispensed into wells (4.0\_mm) in the medium. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 3 days.

**Comment [A37]:** 2018 in reference list

### Emulsification index (E24%)

The modified method of Ndibe *et al.* (2019) using 2.0\_ml of cell free sophorolipid in the ratio of 1:1, the mixture ~~is was then~~ mixed vigorously for half a minute and left to stand for (24h). The ratio of the emulsion to the column was determined, ~~m~~

Mathematically:

$$\text{Emulsification Index (E}_{24}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Height of emulsion formed}}{\text{Height of aqueous phase}} \times 100\%$$

### Hydrocarbon degradation screening of yeast Isolates.

*In vitro* crude oil biodegradation potential of the organisms was done using the modified method of Shekhar *et al.* (2015). The test was conducted using 1.0\_ml overnight culture suspension seeded into 90% mineral salt media fortified with 1% Bonny Light Crude Oil (BLCO). The setup was kept under standard conditions for 7 days. The setup was repeated using 2,6-~~Dichlorophenolindophenoldichlorophenolindophenol~~. The growth was monitored through culture densities, by taking the O.D readings daily at 600\_nm against Bushnell Haas medium as blank. Corresponding daily ~~reading of pH were taken and was~~ recorded.

**Comment [A38]:** All capital letters, later one or no capital letters. Stick to one format

## Molecular Identification of Yeast

The isolates were identified molecularly using the method described by Onwumah *et al.* (2019). The yeast DNA was extracted using an Inqaba South African-supplied ZR fungal DNA mini prep extraction kit. Pure and abundant yeast biomass was suspended in 200 l of isotonic buffer and seeded into ZR Bashing Bead Lysis tubes using 500 l of lysis solution. Prior to assembly, the tubes were pre-fitted with a 2 ml column holder and beads and spun at maximum speed for 5 minutes. For 60 seconds, the ZR bashing bead lysis tube was spun at 10,000 xg. Approximately 400 l of the supernatant was transferred to a collection tube fitted with a Zymo-Spin IV spin Filter (orange top) and centrifuged at 7000 xg for 1 minute. 1200 l of fungal DNA binding buffer was added to the filtrate in the collection tubes, bringing the final volume to 1600 l. Around 800 l were then transferred to a Zymo-Spin IIC column contained in a collection tube and centrifuged for 1 minute at 10,000 xg. The collection tube's flow through was discarded. The remaining volume was transferred to the same Zymo-spin and spun; 200 l of the DNA Pre-Wash buffer was added to the Zymo-spin IIC in a new collection tube and centrifuged at 10,000 xg for 1 minute, followed by 500 l of fungal DNA ~~Wash-wash Buffer~~buffer. Transferring the Zymo-spin IIC column to a clean 2.0 l centrifuge tube. To elute the DNA, 10.0 l of DNA elution buffer was added to the column matrix and centrifuged at 10,000 xg for 30 seconds. Following that, the ultra-pure DNA was stored at -20 °C for use in subsequent reactions.

**Comment [A39]:** 2015 in reference list

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

## DNA quantification

The extracted genomic DNA was quantified using the Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer.

## Internal transcribed space (ITS) amplification

The ITS region of the rRNA genes of the isolates were amplified using the ITS1(TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG) and ITS4(TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC) primers on ABI 9700 Applied Biosystems thermal cycler at a final volume of 50 µl for 35 cycles. The PCR mix contained twice Dream taq Master mix supplied by Inqaba, South Africa (taq polymerase, dNTPs, MgCl), the primers at a concentration of 0.4 M and the extracted DNA as template. The PCR conditions used ~~are were~~ as follows: Initial denaturation, 95°C for 5 min; denaturation, 95° for 30 Sec; annealing, 53°C for 30 Sec; extension, 72°C for 30 sec and final extension, 72° for 5 min. The product was resolved on a 1.5 % agarose gel at 120 V for 15 min and visualized on a UV trans illuminator.

**Comment [A40]:** This whole paragraph you talk about huge volumes (200-1500 litres. Surely this cannot be right?

**Formatted:** Superscript

## Sequencing

Sequencing was done using the Big Dye Terminator kit on a 3510 ABI sequencer (Inqaba Biotechnological, Pretoria South Africa).

## Phylogenetic Analysis

The sequences were obtained after bioinformatics algorithm ~~Trace-trace~~ edit, similar sequences were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) data base using BLASTN. These sequences were aligned using ClustalX. The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method in MEGA 6.0 (Saitou and Nei, 1987). The bootstrap consensus tree was inferred from 500 replicates (Felsenstein, 1985) and taken to represent the

**Comment [A41]:** Not in reference list

**Comment [A42]:** Not in reference list

evolutionary history of the taxa analysed. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Jukes-Cantor method (1969).

Comment [A43]: Not in reference list

## Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the physicochemical profile of the palm oil mill effluent impacted soil in Emohua Local Government, Rivers State, Nigeria. The pH of the unpolluted soil was 7.43, Rumuche palm oil mill site had pH 6.18 while that of the Elibrada palm oil mill site was 6.34. The temperature of the soil samples was 31.2 °C, 31.9 °C and 32.01 °C for the unpolluted, Rumuche and Elibrada palm oil processing sites respectively. The ~~Electrical-electrical~~ conductivity for Elibrada soil sample was 20.84 µS/cm, the sample from Rumuche had electrical conductivity of 80.19 µS/cm while that of the unpolluted soil was 220.13 µS/cm. The salinity of the Elibrada soil sample was 2.022 mg/~~kgkg~~ while for the Rumuche and Unpolluted soil were 1.03 and 1.02 mg/~~kgkg~~. The ~~Alkalinity-alkalinity~~ of the Elibrada soil sample was 50.74 mg/~~kgkg~~, Rumuche soil had 30.51 mg/~~kgkg~~ while that of the unpolluted soil was 40.58 mg/~~kgkg~~. Phosphate content of the soil samples were 3.57mg/~~kgkg~~, 3.81 mg/~~kgkg~~ and 6.24 mg/~~kgkg~~ for unpolluted soil, Rumuche and Elibrada palm oil mill effluent impacted sites respectively. Ammonia content of the Elibrada and Rumuche soil samples were 0.056 and 0.041 mg/~~kgkg~~ while the result for the unpolluted soil was 0.028 mg/~~kgkg~~. Sulphates and hydrogen sulphide concentration of the soil sample from Rumuche location was 253.83 mg/~~kgkg~~ and 5.17 mg/~~kgkg~~, for Elibrada location was 166.49 and 0.052 mg/~~kgkg~~, while for the control unpolluted soil site it was 27.94 and 0.052 mg/~~kgkg~~. The concentration of exchangeable cations ~~Iron-iron~~ and ~~Zinc-zinc~~ was 5.10 and 3.01 mg/~~kgkg~~ for unpolluted soil while for Elibrada it was 1.35 and 1.29 mg/~~kgkg~~ and Rumuche locations it was 1.83 and 1.59 mg/~~kgkg~~.

Comment [A44]: SI unit is kg and not Kg

Table 2 shows the geotechnical properties of the samples obtained from the study area. The silt content in Rumuche soil sample was 2.57 % w/w, Elibrada was 2.38% while the unpolluted soil was 1.92% w/w. The sandy components were 96.15% w/w, 96.57% and 97.25% for Rumuche, Elibrada and unpolluted soil respectively. The moisture content of the Rumuche soil was 28.6 %, Elibrada soil was 15.83 % w/w while the unpolluted soil was 8.74%. Permeability of the soil from Elibrada was 2.7 cm/s, Rumuche had 1.3 cm/s while the unpolluted soil had 5.6 cm/s. Total organic carbon for soil samples from Rumuche was 4.92% w/w, Elibrada had 6.13% while the unpolluted soil had 8.74%. Oil and grease component for the Rumuche soil was 10500 mg/~~kgkg~~, Elibrada had 7200 mg/~~kgkg~~ and the unpolluted soil had 28.0 mg/~~kgkg~~. The plastic index was 8.0% and 13.0% for Rumuche and Elibrada respectively while the unpolluted soil had 11.0%

### Microbial population of the soil samples

Table 3 shows the microbial population of the soil samples obtained during the study. The unpolluted soil had a total heterotrophic bacteria count of  $1.32 \times 10^5$  CFU/g, while the samples obtained from Rumuche had a total heterotrophic bacterial count of  $1.02 \times 10^5$  CFU/g. The impacted soil samples from Elibrada had  $9.0 \times 10^4$  CFU/g. The Total fungal count for Rumuche soil samples was  $3.8 \times 10^4$  CFU/g while Elibrada had  $3.2 \times 10^4$  CFU/g.

Comment [A45]: I thought only one sample?

### Biochemical identification of yeast

Table 4 shows the biochemical identification of the yeast isolates mined from the palm oil mill effluent impacted soil. Eighty percent (80%) of the isolates were *Candida* spp. while 20% was

*Saccharomyces* sp; Isolates Y1 (*Candida* sp.), Y3 (*Candida* sp.), Y8 (*Saccharomyces* sp.) and Y13 (*Candida* sp.).

**Table 1: Physicochemical Composition of Soil samples**

Parameter	Unpolluted soil	Rumuche Palm oil Mill Impacted soil	Elibrada Palm oil Mill Impacted soil	F-Stat Value
pH	7.43±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	6.18±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	6.34±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	6284.78
Temperature (°C)	31.2±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	31.9±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	32.01±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	864.86
Conductivity (µS/cm)	220.13±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	80.19±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	20.84±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.0x10 <sup>8</sup>
Salinity (mg/kg)	1.02±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.03±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.022±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	4356
Alkalinity (mg/kg)	40.58±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	30.51±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	50.74±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	1.3x10 <sup>7</sup>
Phosphate (mg/kg)	3.57±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	3.81±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	6.24±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	29156.77
Ammonia (mg/kg)	0.028±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.041±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.056±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	201.09
Phenol (mg/kg)	0.066±0.002 <sup>a</sup>	0.464±0.004 <sup>c</sup>	0.38±0.007 <sup>b</sup>	3684.56
H <sub>2</sub> S (mg/kg)	0.05183±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	5.17±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	8.02±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	387765.07
Sulphates (mg/kg)	27.94±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	253.83±0.06 <sup>c</sup>	166.49±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	1.7x10 <sup>8</sup>
Pb (mg/kg)	0.038±0.001 <sup>a</sup>	8.23±0.09 <sup>b</sup>	13.96±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	34031.34
V (mg/kg)	0.0001 <sup>a</sup>	0.61±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	2.0138±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	32203.0
Fe (mg/kg)	5.10 ±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	1.83±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	1.35±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	4700.75
Cr (mg/kg)	1.82±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	43.03±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	51.72±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	2.0 x10 <sup>8</sup>
Zn (mg/kg)	3.01±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	1.59±0.014 <sup>b</sup>	1.29±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2416.42

**Comment [A46]:** Shouldn't it move upwards to where you first refer to it?

**Comment [A47]:** Again – sulfates if American, sulphates if British.

Data presented as Mean ± Standard Deviation; Similar superscripts in a column imply there was no significant difference, those with different superscripts are significant at p-value <0.05

**Table 2: Geotechnical composition of the soil samples**

Parameter	Unpolluted soil	Rumuche Palm oil Mill Impacted soil	Elibrada Palm oil Mill Impacted soil	F-Stat Value
Silt (%)	1.92±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.57±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	2.38±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	4468
Clay (%)	0.83±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	1.28±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	1.05±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	393.45
Sand (%)	97.25±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	96.15±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	96.57±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	678.62
Soil Type	Sandy	Sandy	Sandy	
Moisture Content (%w/w)	8.74±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	28.6±0.14 <sup>c</sup>	15.83±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	27931.97
Permeability (cm/s)	5.6±0.07 <sup>c</sup>	1.3±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	2.7±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	1829.33
Total Organic Carbon (%)	8.74±0.02 <sup>c</sup>	4.92±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	6.13±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	32781.5
Oil and Grease (mg/kgkg)	28.0±0.20 <sup>a</sup>	10500±0.28 <sup>c</sup>	7200±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	2128513135.6
Liquid Limit (%)	19.00±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	28.00±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	31.0±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	10378.4
Plastic Limit (%)	8.0±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	16.0 ±0.14 <sup>b</sup>	18.0 ±0.14 <sup>c</sup>	4188.5
Plastic Index	11±0.71 <sup>b</sup>	8.0 ±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	13.0±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	43.8
Plastic Description	Grey Sandy	Dark-Silty Sandy	Grey-Silty Sandy	
Permeability Description	Moderately rapid	Slow	Moderately slow	

**Comment [A48]:** The text referring to table 2 should be here

**Comment [A49]:** F- value? Don't know F-stat

Data presented as Mean ± Standard Deviation; Similar superscripts in a column imply there was no significant difference, those with different superscripts are significant at p-value <0.05

**Table 3: Microbial population of palm oil mill impacted soil and pristine soil**

**Comment [A50]:** Text referring to table 3 should be here

Sample	THBC_(CFU/g)	TFC_(CFU/g)
Unpolluted soil	1.32×10 <sup>5</sup>	4.6×10 <sup>4</sup>
Rumuche Palm oil Mill Impacted soil	1.02×10 <sup>5</sup>	3.8×10 <sup>4</sup>
Elibrada Palm oil Mill Impacted soil	9.80×10 <sup>4</sup>	3.2×10 <sup>4</sup>

THBC= Total Heterotrophic Bacterial Count; TFC= Total Fungal Count; CFU/g= Colony Forming Unit per Gramgram

**Comment [A51]:** Text referring to Table 4

**Table 4: Biochemical characteristics of yeast isolated from palm oil impacted soil**

ISOLATE	GERM TUBE	GLUCOSE	LACTOSE	MALTOSE	GALACTOSE	SUCROSE	UREA	PROBABLY GENERAL
Y1	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	<i>Candida sp.</i>
Y2	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	<i>Candida sp.</i>
Y3	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	<i>Candida sp.</i>
Y7	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	<i>Candida sp.</i>
Y8	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	<i>Saccharomyces sp.</i>
		+	-	-	+	+		<i>Candida sp.</i>






Figure 1: Growth pattern of yeast isolates for hydrocarbon degradation




Figure 2: Effect of pH during hydrocarbon degradation screening

Comment [A57]: Where do you refer to this Figure in the text?

**Table 5: Sophorolipid production potential screening of yeast isolates obtained from palm oil impacted soil**

ISOLATE	Blood Haemolysis	HC- Deg potential	DCPIP Degradation	Drop Collapse	Oil Spread (mm)	Emulsification Index (%)	CTAB	Surface Tension
Y1	γ	+	+	+++	18.2	40.6	-	38
Y2	γ	+	+	+++	15.6	22.2	-	48.7
<b>Y3</b>	γ	+++	++	++	<b>28.3</b>	<b>52.9</b>	++	<b>30</b>
Y4	γ	+	+	+	30.4	39.3	+	33.5
Y5	γ	+	+	-	1.5	10.8	-	41
Y6	γ	+	+	+++	22.6	32.3	+	55.2
Y7	γ	+	+	+++	30.7	35.5	+	57
<b>Y8</b>	γ	++	++	+++	<b>34.3</b>	<b>61.1</b>	++	<b>30</b>
<b>Y9</b>	γ	++	++	++	<b>30.4</b>	<b>42.7</b>	+	<b>40</b>
Y10	γ	+	+	-	3.8	15.3	-	41.2
Y11	γ	+	+	+	20.1	46.9	+	52.6
Y12	γ	+	+	+	28.7	53.3	++	37.1
<b>Y13</b>	α	++	++	++	<b>33.5</b>	<b>66.7</b>	-	<b>50</b>
Y14	γ	+	+	+	16.7	21.4	-	64.3


#### Molecular Characteristics of the yeast isolates.

The result presented in Figure 3 shows the gel electrophoresis of genomic extracts. There are four bands separated and denoted as ITS 600bp and a ~~Ladder-ladder~~ L which was 500bp. The bands were tagged or labeled Y3, Y8, Y9 and Y13. The molecular weight is a measure of the migration on the gel.


Figure 4 shows the phylogenetic construct of the isolates. The phylogenetic construct shows that the Y8 was 70.2% similar to the *Candida parapsilosis*. The isolate Y3 was 95.8% similar to ~~the~~ *Candida haemulonis*. Isolate Y9 had 100% similarity *Pichia kudriavzevii-kudriavzevii* while Y13 had a 97.4% identity with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.

**Comment [A58]:** Weight has the unit of Newton. Is that what you are referring to? Or are you referring to mass?

Table 6 shows the NCBI accession numbers for the four yeast isolates. The Y3 (*Candida haemulonis*), Y8 (*Candida parapsilosis*), Y9 (*Pichia kudriavzevii*) and Y13 (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) had accession numbers between MW182014 to MW182017



**Figure 3: Gel electrophoresis pattern and band of the genomic DNA extracts obtained after gene amplification**



**Figure 4: Phylogenetic tree showing the evolutionary distance between the fungal isolates**

**Table 6: Bioinformatics details of yeast isolates**

Sequence	Isolate Code	Percentage Similarity (%)	Identity	Gene Bank Accession Number
1	Y3	95.8	<i>Candida haemulonis</i>	MW182014
2	Y8	70.2	<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	MW182015
3	Y9	100	<i>Pichia kudriavzevii</i>	MW182016
4	Y13	97.4	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	MW182017

## 5.0 Discussion:

Palm oil mills in the southern part of Nigeria has remained a mainstay for a number of economic benefits. The activities from the milling have been reported in both cottage and commercial scale in many states of Nigeria. The need for proper treatment of POME ~~have has~~ been advocated by researchers (Nwachukwu *et al.*, 2018; Okute and Isu, 2007). There have been concerns that indiscriminate discharge of POME may impact on the physicochemical attributes such as the total hydrocarbon content, and level of dissolved oxygen as reported by Ohimain *et al.* (2012). The impact of these industrial activities on the soil have been reported to have a number of negative effects ranging from aesthetic loss to tainting and leaching of nutrients. The account of Iyakndue *et al.* (2017) suggests a marked change in the physicochemical and nutrient availability for the soil pre-exposed to palm oil mill effluent. Chikwendu and Ogbonna (2018) reported a high level of soil radicals. Their study also documented a change in ~~the#~~ microbial flora.

Comment [A59]: Not in reference list

Comment [A60]: Not in reference list

Comment [A61]: Surely it was not their, the authors' microbial flora?

The pH of the impacted soil ranged from 7.43 for the unpolluted soil to 6.18 for Rumuche ~~and~~ while pH 6.3 was recorded for Elibrada soil. The pH of any soil has been credited and correlated with the microbial activities, nutrient uptake, diversity and bioavailability. This range observed was in tandem with the report of Sakiah ~~and~~ Andri (2018) whose investigation reported pH 7.48. There was a steady decline in the pH values of the polluted soil making them slightly acidic. This observation was similar to the report of Iwuagwu and Ugwuanyi (2014) whose study reported a similar decline in the pH of the POME-impacted soil. In a related study, the report of Nnaji *et al.* (2016) also reported that there was a steady decline in the pH of an impacted soil, but also observed that the pH steadily returned to alkaline condition from the acidic condition caused by the palm oil mill effluent. POME-impacted soil has been widely reported to be acidic and could create a number of acidic soil challenges (Awotoye *et al.*, 2011).

Comment [A62]: Not in reference list

The electrical conductivity of the soil impacted by POME ranged from 20.84  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$  to 31.9  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ , ~~it was~~ slightly more than the unpolluted soil. This was in agreement with the report of Nwachukwu *et al.* (2018) whose account suggested that the effluent could increase the level of ions in the soil and also affect the level of ~~Electrical-electrical~~ conductivity of the soil. In a related study, Chikwendu and Ogbonna (2018) reported an ~~Electrical-electrical~~ conductivity of 3.2  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ . This agrees with the concentration of ~~Iron-iron~~ which was 1.83 mg/~~Kgkg~~, ~~Lead-lead~~ (Pb) was 8.23 and 13.96 mg/~~Kgkg~~ for POME-impacted soil from Elibrada which also suggest a considerably significant presence of cations. These values obtained in the study ~~are were in tandem~~ with the report of Osman *et al.* (2020) who reported a significant number of ions such as ~~Leadlead, Chromiumchromium, and Arsenic-arsenic~~ in palm oil mill effluent. ~~Furthermore~~ Furthermore, Okereke and Ginikanwa (2020) also reported that palm oil mill ~~Effluents effluents~~ and processing ~~is are~~ associated with a number of ions.

Comment [A63]: Not in reference list

Comment [A64]: Not sure if your use of tandem is correct. This is the meaning: having two things arranged one in front of the other. Maybe you should change it to These values were similar to those reported by Osman...

Comment [A65]: Not in reference list

Geotechnical evaluation revealed a number of soil specific profile about the study area. The Silt (%) was 2.57 for Rumuche and 2.38% w/w for Elibrada while sand (%) was 96.15 and 96.57% respectively. The soil type under evaluation were categorized as sandy soil with a moisture content of 28.6 % and 15.83% for Rumuche and Elibrada. The Oil and Grease values

for the POME-polluted soil was 10500 mg/kg for Rumuche and 7200 mg/kg for Elibrada samples. The plastic limit was 16.0 % and 18% respectively for the POME-impacted soil samples. These findings support the findings of Awotoye *et al* (2011) findings that POME-mediated pollution can alter the geotechnical properties of soil. Although their study observed a similar index, they concluded that this type of pollution caused by a poor management profile and treatment technologies could leach into other non-target aquatic ecosystems, potentially resulting in blow-out situations. These findings corroborated the findings of Iyakndue *et al*. (2017), who also noted a difference in the level of fluxes in the geotechnical properties of POME-impacted soil.

**Comment [A66]:** You cannot corroborate a person, only his or her findings

Microbial diversity of POME-impacted soil has remained a constant worry to several scientific researchers. Total heterotrophic count of the soil samples from Rumuche and Elibrada was  $1.02 \times 10^5$  and  $9.80 \times 10^4$  CFU/g respectively while that of the Unpolluted unpolluted soil had  $1.3 \times 10^5$  CFU/g. This suggests that the microbial population of an unpolluted soil was at least 10 times higher than the POME-impacted soil. This implies that there could be a significant decline in the microbial community profile of the soil. However, Total total fungal count of the soil was observed to remain fairly the same with little or no significant changes as the microbial population varied from  $3.2 \times 10^5$  CFU/g to  $4.6 \times 10^4$  CFU/g. Sakiah and Andri (2018) reported a Total total heterotrophic count in POME-impacted soil of  $25 \times 10^5$  CFU/mL while the unpolluted soil had a concentration of  $1.86 \times 10^6$  CFU/mL. The work of Awotoye *et al*. (2011) reported a total heterotrophic count of POME-impacted soil to range from  $1.8 \times 10^6$  CFU/g to  $6.50 \times 10^9$  CFU/g, with a Total total fungal count of  $9.5 \times 10^2$  CFU/g to  $2.5 \times 10^5$  CFU/g; their Their finding was in close proximity with the report obtained from the present study. The This study may have varied only on the basis of the temperature of the effluent employed in the spiking of the soil. The temperature of the effluent and the geotechnics of the soil have been attributed to the changes in the microbial bioload as observed by Okwute and Isu (2007), their These researchers study observed that the changes in the Total total Aerobic aerobic count varied count varied on the basis of the temperature of the POME. The counts obtained from their study showed a steep decline in their Total total Heterotrophic heterotrophic count, although the account of Woods (1997) attributed the presence of organic matter for to the stability of the fungal community while the heat associated with the effluent as a major reason for the dynamics in the heterotrophic bacterial count. Sekiah *et al*. (2017) reported that the activity of POME against compared to certain soil or water microbiota could either be positive or negative as the nutritional components associated with the effluent.

**Comment [A67]:** Only in one instance. Surely  $1.02 \times 10^5$  and  $1.3 \times 10^5$  are similar? THAT is why one needs repetitions!!

**Comment [A68]:** Not in reference list

**Comment [A69]:** ?? or are you talking about Awotoye?

**Comment [A70]:** Not in reference list

**Comment [A71]:** Not in reference list

**Comment [A72]:** I guess this is what you meant? Don't understand the original

**Comment [A73]:** Did what? The sentence seems to be unfinished

**Comment [A74]:** 2015 in reference list

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

Eighty percent (80%) of the isolates were was *Candida* spp. while 20% was *Saccharomyces* sp. Isolates [isolates] Y1 (*Candida* sp.), Y3 (*Candida* sp.), Y8 (*Saccharomyces* sp.) and Y13 (*Candida* sp.). The report of Okwute *et al*. (2014) reported *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*, *Proteus*, *Micrococcus*, *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, *Paecilomyces* and *Candida* as the microflora they observed from POME-polluted soil. These This agrees with the findings of the present study that *Candida*, *Saccharomyces* sp, and other yeast isolates may be associated with this nature of industrial effluent. This agrees with the report of Bala *et al*. (2018) whose investigation revealed the presence of *Aspergillus fumigatus* 107PF, *Aspergillus nomius* 108PF, *Aspergillus niger* 109PF and *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* 110PF. Nebo and Abu (2015) reported that the yeast have

**Comment [A75]:** The presence of these fungi where?

**Comment [A76]:** Neboh in lists and 2016

[has](#) the ability to withstand and grow on POME and also possesses degradative and biosurfactant production potential. The report of Nwuche and Ogbonna (2011) isolated lipase producing fungi from POME-dumpsite in Nsukka, Nigeria and characterized isolates such as *Aspergillus* sp., *Penicillium* and *Mucor* genera. Sophorolipid production potentials of the yeast isolates showed that the yeast isolates had a significant potential to induce reduction in the surficial tension of effluent. The *Candida* isolate (Y3) was observed to have a positive reaction to the drop collapse test; oil spread of 18.2 mm, [eEmulsification](#) index of 52.9% and a moderate surface tension activity. This agrees with the [position-report](#) of Nebo and Abu (2015) whose study identified a surface tensioactive profile by the yeast isolates obtained [from](#) POME-effluent. Their report documented an appreciable yield in the sophorolipid produced by the yeast associated with POME-impacted soil. Konishi *et al.* (2016) was able to assess the yeast isolates as possible tools for white biotechnology and evaluated their potential and phylogeny. The report of Orji *et al.* (2006) reported the isolates obtained from POME-impacted environment with the possibility of producing diverse tensioactive substances. This supports the work of Silveira *et al.* (2019) who also reported that these sophorolipids produced by yeast isolates such as *Starmerella bombicola* can both function as emulsifiers and antimicrobial agents. The work of Archana *et al.* (2013) identified and screened the potential of yeast from impacted environment with a yield of 4.23g/L, ~~their-Their~~ report identified the sophorolipid production potentials of *Candida* species. [The present study which](#) agreed very strongly with the findings of [these authors-the present study](#). These surfactants have been identified as a possible adaptive feature of microbes. The report of Claus and [Bogaert](#) (2017) was also able to screen yeast producing sophorolipid and categorized them as environmentally friendly, but decried the faulty characterization protocol as major problem in niche-related studies. Their study also developed a number of protocols in the assessment of sophorolipid production potentials of yeast isolates.

**Comment [A77]:** Spelling and date

**Comment [A78]:** Something can only support something that happened in the past. Silveira (2019) can support the findings of Orji, but not the other way round.

**Comment [A79]:** 2019 in reference list

**Comment [A80]:** Not in reference list

Hydrocarbon degradation of the yeast isolates were identified during this study. The activity to reduce the methylene blue indicator in DCPIP was observed during the study. There was a remarkable reduction in the colour of the indicator during the study with a corresponding increase in the biomass and pH of the medium. The molecular identification of the yeast isolates showed that the isolates possess the ability required for mineralization of the petroleum hydrocarbons. The phylogenetic construct shows that the Y8 was 70.2% similar to the *Candida parapsilosis*. The isolate Y3 was 95.8% similar to the *Candida haemulonis*. Isolate Y9 had 100% similarity [to](#) *Pichia kudriavzevii-kudriavzevii* while Y13 had a 97.4% identity with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The Y3 (*Candida haemulonis*), Y8 (*Candida parapsilosis*), Y9 (*Pichia kudriavzevii*) and Y13 (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) [isolates](#) had accession numbers between MW182014 to MW182017. These findings strongly agree with the report of Al-Dhabaan (2021) who reportedly isolated and characterized yeast isolates with hydrocarbon degradation capacities from Kaffi oil field in Saudi Arabia, namely *Candida tropicalis*, *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* and *Rhodospiridium toruloides*. The account of Miranda *et al.* (2007) identified the degradation of petroleum hydrocarbon by prolific yeast isolates *Rhodotorula auranticaca* and *Candida ernobii*. However, Okerentugba *et al.* (2016) reported the activity of hydrocarbon degradation potentials from palm wine yeast such as *Candida adriatica* and *Candida taoyuanica*. In a related study Shumin *et al.* (2011) reported that *Pichia omen* [has had](#) the potential to degrade hydrocarbon fractions. It is common knowledge that indigenous microorganisms [grown in the soil for a long](#) inhabiting the soil for a long time, [they](#) could adapt

**Comment [A81]:** 2012 in reference list

and function in diverse ecosystems. This also validates that there could be a number of exogenous microbes which could also play a vital role in eco-restoration. There have been considerations that the exogenous organisms may not be perfect eco-adapters in every terrestrial habitat situation (Shumin *et al.* 2011, Mukherjee and Dasgupta, 2007 and Ueno, 2007). Several scientific reports have identified yeast as being environmentally friendly and ecologically diverse.

Comment [A82]: 2012 in reference list

Comment [A83]: 3 authors and 2006 in reference list

### Conclusion and Recommendation

Palm oil processing will remain a major economic driver in the southern part of Nigeria and the effluent associated with these activities both at cottage and industrial scale will remain a major source of concern to both the scientific and entrepreneurial enthusiast until a cheap and feasible treatment and management protocol is established to meet these teaming concerns. The habitat and niche occupied by a number of ecological drivers such as yeast isolates have been identified in the present study. The geotechnical and physicochemical properties of soil samples impacted by the palm oil mill process have been reported to have created a shift in the soil texture and profile. The microbial population of the soil samples evaluated was in tandem with already posited accordance to previously published literature. The biodiversity of the soil has had been identified to have narrowed the yeast flora observed during the study. Biosurfactant (Sphorolipid) production potentials were observed on the isolates obtained from the study. There was a significant surface tension, crude oil biodegradation potential, CTAB, emulsification and oil spread activities which suggested a major qualitative and quantitative potential of the yeast isolates. Molecular studies showed the presence of *Candida haemulonis*, *Candida parapsilosis*, *Pichia kudriavzevii* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as the major microflora of the POME-impacted soil in Emohua Local Government area of Rivers State. This study was able to identify and agree with a number of peer review articles on the potency of these yeast isolates as ecofriendly and also as bioemulsifiers in their ecological niches. This investigation further recommends the potency of several impacted environmental matrices as archives and reservoirs of ecologically friendly yeast isolates whose potentials could be harnessed in a number of biotechnological processes. The academia at all level must synergize in the use of these prolific and ecologically diverse flora in the production of high value industrial and environmental technology and promote the application of indigenous microbes in diverse white biotechnological advancements.

Comment [A84]: Diversity of??

Comment [A85]: Maybe explain what is meant by white biotechnology

### COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

### References

- Abu, G. O., & Ogiji, P. A. (1996). Initial test of a bioremediation scheme for the cleanup of an oil-polluted waterbody in a rural community in Nigeria. *Bioresource Technology*, 58(1), 7-12.
- Agbaji, J. E., Nwaichi, E. O., & Abu, G. O. (2020). Optimization of bioremediation-cocktail for application in the eco-recovery of crude oil polluted soil. *AAS Open Research*, 3.
- Al-Dhabaan, F. A. (2021). Isolation and identification of crude oil-degrading yeast strains from Khafji oil field, Saud Arabia. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*.
- Ali, S. R.; Chowdhury, B. R.; Mondal, P. and Rajak, S. Screening and Characterization of Biosurfactants Producing Microorganism form Natural Environment (Whey Spilled Soil). *Journal of Natural Sciences Research.*, 2013; 3(13): 2224-3186
- Archana, K., Reddy, K. S., Parameshwar, J., & Bee, H. (2019). Isolation and characterization of sophorolipid producing yeast from fruit waste for application as antibacterial agent. *Environmental Sustainability*, 2(2), 107-115.
- Awotoye, O. O., Dada, A. C., & Arawomo, G. A. O. (2011). Impact of palm oil processing effluent discharge on the quality of receiving soil and river in South Western Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 7(2), 111-118.
- Bala, J. D., Lalung, J., Al-Gheethi, A. A. S., Hossain, K., & Ismail, N. (2018). Microbiota of palm oil mill wastewater in Malaysia. *Tropical life sciences research*, 29(2), 131.
- Banat, I. M., Franzetti, A., Gandolfi, I., Bestetti, G., Martinotti, M. G., Fracchia, L., ... & Marchant, R. (2010). Microbial biosurfactants production, applications and future potential. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 87(2), 427-444.
- Bodour, Adria A., Kevin P. Drees, and Raina M. Maier. "Distribution of biosurfactant-producing bacteria in undisturbed and contaminated arid southwestern soils." *Applied and environmental microbiology* 69.6 (2003): 3280-3287.
- Chikwendu, M. U., & Ogbonna, A. N. (2018). Impact of palm oil mill effluent on the soil in Upkom-Bende forest reserve, Abia state, Nigeria. *Journal of Research in Forestry, Wildlife and Environment*, 10(3), 55-61.
- Das, K. and Mukherjee, A (2007). Comparison of lipopeptide biosurfactants production by *Bacillus subtilis* strains in submerged and solid state fermentation systems using a cheap carbon source: Some industrial applications of biosurfactants. *Pro Biochem*; 42:1191-1199.
- Diniz Rufino, R., Moura de Luna, J., de Campos Takaki, G. M., & Asfora Sarubbo, L. (2014). Characterization and properties of the biosurfactant produced by *Candida lipolytica* UCP 0988. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 17(1), 6-6.
- Effiong, E., Agwa, O. K., & Abu, G. O. (2019). Optimization of Biosurfactant production by a novel Rhizobacterial *Pseudomonas* species. *World Scientific News*, 137, 18-30.
- Ekwuabu, C. B., Chikere, C. B., & Akaranta, O. (2016, October). Effect of Different Nutrient Amendments on Eco-Restoration of a Crude Oil Polluted Soil. In *SPE African Health, Safety, Security, Environment, and Social Responsibility Conference and Exhibition*. OnePetro.
- Elazzazy, A. M., Abdelmoneim, T. S., & Almaghribi, O. A. (2015). Isolation and characterization of biosurfactant production under extreme environmental conditions by alkali-halophilic bacteria from Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 22(4), 466-475.
- Hořková, M., Schreiberová, O., Jeřdík, R., Chudoba, J., Masák, J., Sigler, K., & Řezanka, T. (2013). Characterization of rhamnolipids produced by non-pathogenic *Acinetobacter* and *Enterobacter* bacteria. *Bioresource technology*, 130, 510-516.

Comment [A86]: Not in text

Comment [A87]: Not in text

*International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Archives*, 5(2), 158-67.

Iwuagwu, Joy O., and J. Obeta Ugwuanyi. "Treatment and valorization of palm oil mill effluent through production of food grade yeast biomass." *Journal of Waste Management* 2014 (2014).

Iyakndue, M. L., Brooks, A. A., Unimke, A. A., & Agbo, B. E. (2017). Effects of palm oil mill effluent on soil microflora and fertility in Calabar–Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Biology*, 1-11.

Jezierska, S., Claus, S., & Van Bogaert, I. (2018). Yeast glycolipid biosurfactants. *FEBS letters*, 592(8), 1312-1329.

Comment [A88]: Not in text

Kavitha, S., Jayashree, C., Kumar, S. A., Yeom, I. T., & Banu, J. R. (2014). The enhancement of anaerobic biodegradability of waste activated sludge by surfactant mediated biological pretreatment. *Bioresource technology*, 168, 159-166.

Konishi, M., Fujita, M., Ishibane, Y., Shimizu, Y., Tsukiyama, Y., & Ishida, M. (2016). Isolation of yeast candidates for efficient sophorolipids production: their production potentials associate to their lineage. *Bioscience, biotechnology, and biochemistry*, 80(10), 2058-2064.

Maneerat, S. (2005). Biosurfactants from marine microorganisms. *Songklanakarin J Sci Technol*, 27(6), 1263-1272.

Miranda, R. D. C., Souza, C. S. D., Gomes, E. D. B., Lovaglio, R. B., Lopes, C. E., & Sousa, M. D. F. V. D. Q. (2007). Biodegradation of diesel oil by yeasts isolated from the vicinity of suape port in the state of Pernambuco-Brazil. *Brazilian Archives of biology and technology*, 50, 147-152.

Moya-Ramírez, I., García-Román, M., & Fernández-Arteaga, A. (2017). Rhamnolipids: highly compatible surfactants for the enzymatic hydrolysis of waste frying oils in microemulsion systems. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 5(8), 6768-6775.

Comment [A89]: Not in text

Mukherjee, S., Das, P., and Sen, R. (2006). Towards commercial production of microbial surfactants. *Trends Biotechnol.*, 24, 509–515.

Ndibe, T.O., Eugene, W.C. and Usman, J.J. 2018. Screening of biosurfactant-producing bacteria isolated from River Rido, Kaduna, Nigeria. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manag.* 22: 1855-1861.

Neboh, H. A., Abu, G. O., & Uyigwe, L (2016). Utilization of Agro-industrial Wastes as Substrates for Biosurfactant Production. *International Journal of Geography and Environmental Management* 2504-8821

Nishanthi, R., Kumaran, S., Palani, P., Chellaram, C., Anand, T. P., & Kannan, V. (2010). Screening of biosurfactants from hydrocarbon degrading bacteria. *Journal of Ecobiotechnology*, 2(5).

Nnaji, J. C., Okoye, J. A., & Omotugba, S. K. (2016). Soil quality in the vicinity of palm oil mills in Umuahia, Nigeria. *International Research Journal of Chemistry and Chemical Sciences*, 3(1), 029-032.

Nwuche, C. O., & Ogbonna, J. C. (2011). Isolation of lipase producing fungi from palm oil mill effluent (POME) dump sites at Nsukka. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, 54, 113-116.

Ohimain, E. I., Seiyaboh, E. I., Izah, S. C., Oghenegueke, V., & Perewarebo, T. (2012). Some selected physico-chemical and heavy metal properties of palm oil mill effluents. *Greener Journal of Physical Sciences*, 2(4), 131-137.

- Okerentugba, P. O., Ataikiru, T. L., & Ichor, T. (2016). Isolation and characterization of hydrocarbon utilizing yeast (HUY) isolates from palm wine. *American Journal of Molecular Biology*, 6(2), 63-70.
- Okoliegbe, I. N., & Agarry, O. O. (2012). Application of microbial surfactant (a review). *Scholarly journals of biotechnology*, 1(1), 15-23.
- Okwute, L. O., & Isu, N. R. (2007). The environmental impact of palm oil mill effluent (pome) on some physico-chemical parameters and total aerobic bioload of soil at a dump site in Anyigba, Kogi State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2(12), 656-662.
- Okwute, O. L., Stephen, E., & Anyanwu, P. I. (2015). Biodegradation of palm oil mill effluent (POME) and lipase activity by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Bacillus subtilis* and *Candida albicans*. *Microbiology Research Journal International*, 1-10.
- Onwumah M, Okoronkwo, C. P. and Effiong, E. (2015) Molecular characterization of yeast isolated from palm wine in Alakahia, Rivers State, Nigeria. *World Scientific News* ; 130; 297-304
- Orhorhoro, E; Effiong, E; Abu, G (2018). Laboratory-scale bioremediation of crude oil polluted soil using a consortia of rhizobacteria obtained from plants in Gokana-Ogoni, Rivers State. *J of Adv Microb*. 9, 1-17.
- Orji, M. U., Nwokolo, S. O., & Okoli, I. (2006). Effect of palm oil mill effluent on soil microflora. *Nigerian Journal of Microbiology*, 20(2), 1026-1031.
- Osman, N. A., Ujang, F. A., Roslan, A. M., Ibrahim, M. F., & Hassan, M. A. (2020). The effect of palm oil mill effluent final discharge on the characteristics of *Pennisetum purpureum*. *Scientific reports*, 10(1), 1-10.
- Pansiripat S, Pornsunthorntawee O, Rujiravanit R, Kitiyanan B, Somboonthanate P, Chavadej S (2010) Biosurfactant production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* SP4 using sequencing batch reactors: effect of oil-to-glucose ratio. *Biochem Eng J* 49(2):185–191
- Rodrigues, L. R., Banat, I. M., Van der Mei, H. C., Teixeira, J. A., & Oliveira, R. (2006). Interference in adhesion of bacteria and yeasts isolated from explanted voice prostheses to silicone rubber by rhamnolipid biosurfactants. *Journal of applied microbiology*, 100(3), 470-480.
- Santhini, K., & Parthasarathi, R. (2014). Isolation and screening of biosurfactant producing microorganisms from hydrocarbon contaminated soils from automobile workshop.
- Santos, D. K. F., Rufino, R. D., Luna, J. M., Santos, V. A., & Sarubbo, L. A. (2016). Biosurfactants: multifunctional biomolecules of the 21st century. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 17(3), 401.
- Saravanan, V., & Vijayakumar, S. (2012). Isolation and screening of biosurfactant producing microorganisms from oil contaminated soil. *J. Acad. Indus. Res*, 1(5), 264-268.
- Sekhon Randhawa, K. K., & Rahman, P. K. (2014). Rhamnolipid biosurfactants—past, present, and future scenario of global market. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 5, 454.
- Shekhar, S., Sundaramanickam, A., & Balasubramanian, T. (2015). Biosurfactant producing microbes and their potential applications: a review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 45(14), 1522-1554.
- Shumin, Y., Zhaoyang, X., & Jinglia, T. (2012). Screening and identification of halotolerant yeast for hydrocarbon degrading and its properties studies. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 6(8), 1819-1828.

Comment [A90]: Not in text

Comment [A91]: Not in text

- Souza, F. A. S. D., Salgueiro, A. A., & Albuquerque, C. D. C. (2012). Production of bioemulsifiers by *Yarrowia lipolytica* in sea water using diesel oil as the carbon source. *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 29, 61-67.
- Souza, R.P. (2014). Biosurfactant-enhanced hydrocarbon bioremediation: An overview. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* 89: 88 - 94.
- Ueno, Y., Hirashima, N., Inoh, Y., Furuno, T., and Nakanishi, M. (2007). Characterization of biosurfactant-containing liposomes and their efficiency for gene transfection. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, 30, 169–172.
- Yan, P., Lu, M., Yang, Q., Zhang, H. L., Zhang, Z. Z., & Chen, R. (2012). Oil recovery from refinery oily sludge using a rhamnolipid biosurfactant-producing *Pseudomonas*. *Bioresource technology*, 116, 24-28.
- Zouari, R., Ellouze-Chaabouni, S., & Ghribi-Aydi, D. (2014). Optimization of *Bacillus subtilis* SPB1 biosurfactant production under solid-state fermentation using by-products of a traditional olive mill factory. *Achievements in the Life Sciences*, 8(2), 162-169.