Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Applied Life Sciences International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JALSI_85780**
Title of the Manuscript:	
	Farmers' Information-inputs and their sway on Coffee Productivity in the West of Rift, Kenya
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work describes a study on how certain knowledge influences productivity in coffee production. The methodology used, the variables studied, the purpose of the study are described in a detailed and precise way. The data are analyzed by the appropriate use of many statistical tools . the tables are numerous and very explanatory. The language, even with some repetition of concept at the beginning, is rigorous, clear even if it requires a lot of attention in the reading. The bibliography is vast. The results while not surprising are well explained and can also be applied to other cases	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Carlo artemi**
Department, University & Country	**Ministero pubblica istruzione, Italy**