

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_88718
Title of the Manuscript:	CHECKLIST OF TREES AND SHRUBS AT THE NARAGUTA CAMPUS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF JOS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Title: The title is informativeAbstract: The abstract is adequately addressedIntroduction: The introduction is concise, and clear)Materials and Methods: The Methods section is currently addressed.Results: The results are clearly presented.Discussion: The discussion is well written and adequately addressed.The manuscript is well written. The purpose, methods and results are clearly presented.Conclusions: The conclusion is very conclusive, since based on a small sample size.(Appropriate and adequate references to related works covered sufficiently in the list).	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Figures & tables: The titles of the figures should be more informative. They are vital and quite well.Figures and tables are significant and well-presented.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This is well and acceptable, and it contributes to the Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International in a valuable way.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Bakiyaraj
Department, University & Country	GAC (A), India