Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_84184
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Leaf Extract and Powder of Ipomoea asarifolia for Control of Root-knot Nematode (Meloidogyne javanica) on Okra
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<u></u>	Introduction At the end of the Introduction section the study objectives need to be clearly written.	
	Materials and Methods	
	The section should be structured. The experimental site	
	The biological materials used	
	Preparation of plant extract	
	at the end	
	Statistical analysis	
	Results and Discussion	
	In the case of the Key or Legend Why here you sayTO and inside the textCT? Please use the same notation.	
	For the Figure 2:	
	If the Key was written, please use for the X axis of the Figure 2, as for the Figure 1: CT T1 T2 T3 T4	
	Below the Table 1: All means in the same column bearing the same letters are not significantly different at p =0.05	
	But, as we can see, there are not any letters near the means values, so???	
	SE-Standard error	
	Do you want to say SEM - the standard error of the mean?because in the Table we can seeSEM	
	Attention to the Table 1 and Table 2 titles!	
	I understood that your studies have been carried out on okra, not eggplant ?! Please modify the Tables titles. As I said before, where are there the letters in the Tables?	
	For the conclusion,	
	I suggest The reducing of the galling index and nematodes population, as well as the improving the growth parameters as against the untreated plants shows that Ipomoea asarifolia has	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

	nematicidal qualities and may be used for okra plants, as a substitute for harmful and environmentally unfriendly nematicides.	
Minor REVISION comments	Other observations can be found also on the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Delian Elena
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)