Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAERI_81752 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | TEA PLANTATION COMPANIES CONTRIBUTION TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS: QUALITATIVE INQUIRY IN SRI LANKA |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | This paper tries to study how Sri Lankan tea industry has been contributing towards the SDGs and how its practices enable to enhance its sustainable future. It uses surveys of 10 tea companies managers for the analysis. The topic is interesting and the whole paper is well written and organized. But it has the following problems: (1) The sample of 10 managers is generally too few. If it is a kind of case study, only one case is needed but it needs to conduct more detailed and comprehensive analyses; (2) The samples are used; then it needs to compare its similarities and differences in order to draw conclusions or calculate its averages and standard deviations of all relevant variables/questions/issues to draw meaningful conclusions; (3) How important this tea industry in Sri Lankan's economy should be briefly discussed, such as how many employees and its total GDP along with its relevant %s in the whole economy; (4) The Abstract is too long; (5) The Keywords are mostly inappropriate and need to be changed. Please read published articles for guidance. | This/fiel reediback field) |
| Minor REVISION comments | The qualitative analysis can be numerical, i.e. using some #s to demonstrate relevant issues, etc. | |
| Optional/General comments | No. | |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Yueyn (Bill) Chen | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Department, University & Country | University of the West, USA | |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)