

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JABB_89038   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Computational screening of medicinal plant phytochemicals to discover potent inhibitors against Hepatitis B virus |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy> )

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

|                              | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | non  |   |
| Minor REVISION comments      | non  |   |
| Optional/General comments    | <div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The article is original and display an innovation by using recent research techniques.</li><li>The title informative and relevant</li><li>References are relevant, recent and appropriate key studies are included.</li><li>The research question is properly outlined.</li><li>The conclusions give a clear answer of the study aim. The authors give a clear conclusions.</li><li>Also, the study limitations give opportunities for future research.</li></ul><p>I have found the study design answered the aim appropriately and added new information.</p><p>I have found the topic is very interesting and the article is written in good language. In the attached file, you will find the detailed comments.</p><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The article is original and display an innovation by using recent research techniques.</li><li>The title informative and relevant</li><li>References are relevant, recent, referenced correctly and appropriate key studies are included.</li><li>The research question is properly outlined.</li><li>All the study methods are reliable, valid and reproducible</li><li>The data are presented in an appropriate way in the form of tables and figures. Also, definite unites, rounding and numbers are utilized. Titles, columns and rows are labelled in a clear correct way.</li><li>I have found the text in result section added to the data presented. Also, statistically significant results are presented clearly. Practically meaningful results are understood clearly.</li><li>The results are discussed from multiple angles and placed into context.</li><li>The conclusions give a clear answer of the study aim. The authors give a clear conclusions supported by results and references. Also, the study limitations give opportunities for future research.</li><li>I have found the study design answered the aim appropriately and added new information.</li></ul><p>This is an engaging article with robust structure and logical display of related data that increase our knowledge of the subject.</p></div> |   |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                   |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Zeinab Abd Elkhalek Ali El-Shahid |
| Department, University & Country | National Research Centre, Egypt   |