

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_89148
Title of the Manuscript:	Recurrent intestinal obstruction in a teenager with a classic case of Peutz-Jeghers syndrome: a case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Intestinal intussusception has been observed in 47%–69% of adult patients with Peutz–Jeghers syndrome – Neither it is an extremely rare entity nor it is a common case with uncommon presentation- resulting in diagnostic difficulties</p> <p>Which questions the utility of such a case report to the growing scientic community</p> <p>How was the diagnosis of PJS made ? Previous surgery and Biopsy revealed Hamartomatous polyps ?? Because current biopsy revealed only adenocarcinoma..</p> <p>However the pictures show predominantly Hamartomatous polyp – Dyplasia or Adenocarcinoma is not shown picture.</p> <p>On table pictures or Gross pictures can be included</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical and spelling errors mentioned in text	
Optional/General comments	The report is written well without any plagiarism, but lacks any contribution to further enhance the knowledge and treatment protocol regarding such cases.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyadharshini Bargunam
Department, University & Country	Vardhman Mahavir Medical College and Safdurjung Hospital, India